

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_90676
Title of the Manuscript:	Mapping of micronutrients in soils of Kishtwar district (Jammu and Kashmir) - A GIS approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Methods were not robustly written</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. You did not explain what you did and how you did it with ArcGIS 2. (These interpolation techniques have been commonly used in mapping soil properties (Nayak et al., 2006; Schloeder et al., 2001)). You have to subtly introduce the outcome of the results by Nayak and Schloeder and also add some other person(s) that has/have used this method. 3. Figure 1 is not Map of the study area; this is DEM of the area. You must add map of the study area 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sylvanus Iro
Department, University & Country	Imo State University, Nigeria