

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_93813
Title of the Manuscript:	Second trimester Uterine Rupture of an Unscarred uterus in a Primigravida: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Discussion needs a bit more elaboration	
Minor REVISION comments	Spellings need corrections at some places.	
Optional/General comments	Cite this article Aggarwal S, Jindal M, MinhasS. Case series on uterine rupture depicting the atypical presentations in the labour room. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol 2021;10:4549-52 (PDF) Case series on uterine rupture depicting the atypical presentations in the labour room. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/356560758_Case_series_on_uterine_rupture_depicting_the_atypical_presentations_in_the_labour_room [accessed Nov 09 2022].	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Monika Jindal
Department, University & Country	MMMCH, India