

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_91489
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING EVIDENCE FOR DISRESPECT AND ABUSE IN FACILITY-BASED CHILDBIRTH AT A TERTIARY FACILITY IN GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to read and review your study. We fully appreciate the effort made by colleagues to accomplish this research, despite the technical problems related to the infrastructure of pediatric surgery in Ghana. The topic itself is of great importance, unfortunately not easy to follow. Having professed my general enthusiasm for the topic and its importance, I have some concerns that I feel are tractable but require substantive effort. Our ambition is for the research formula to be in keeping with the pure scientific method, so we wanted to include a set of notes that we see as necessary to prepare the manuscript in a more sedate way that achieves the purpose of academic publishing. I will discuss my main comments and suggestions (submitted in the main manuscript / yellow icons), which hopefully can help the author/s improve this report. My comments here are concerned solely with the re organization of the manuscript. Consideration of these points will, I believe, lead to an improved report that better illustrates the key concepts and conclusions. Accordingly, I recommend the manuscript for publication after some adjustments have been taken into account.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Joudi Aboud
Department, University & Country	The Maternity and Child Teaching Hospital, Iraq