

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_93862
Title of the Manuscript:	Field Work Studies in Rural Areas: Growth of Students in an Urban University in Japan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>1. There is a need to recast Abstract, for it to include research design, population, sample, instrumentation, findings, conclusion and recommendation.</b> <b>2. Repetition and omission of words should be avoided</b> <b>3. Each section of a research paper has its language; thus, methodology is a reported part of this study and should be written in past tense. The same thing the Result Section which adopts Content Analysis of the interview conducted</b> <b>4. Most importantly, sources of some claims in this study should be cited and appropriately referenced</b> <b>5. Figure 5 is missing in this study? It can't be found, kindly make it available herein since it is part of analysis</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Verbose and tautology should be avoided. This is not allowed in a research work	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	American spelling should be avoided in this study e.g., program, revitalization, endeavoring, to mention only a few	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdul-Wahab Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Sule Lamido University, Nigeria