

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_92014
Title of the Manuscript:	Brain Metastasis in a Case of Osteosarcoma
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsaorj.com/index.php/AORJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p><u>01</u> There are some sentences in the text without reference to a previous study (or studies) in order to give evidence to their statements. Without references, these statements would be mere assumptions or allegations by the authors of the manuscript. Therefore, each of the following sentences need at least one reference to back up their statement: "Brain metastases have been detected in a few of people with osteosarcoma." "The onset of brain metastases is rare and frequently is the last stage of the clinical history because long-term survival in these patients is very less." "The absence of active pulmonary metastasis at the onset of brain metastasis and the complete removal of all brain metastases by craniotomy are common to the long-term survivors." "In such patients, therefore, it would be worthwhile to perform craniotomy aggressively along with excision of the primary tumor."</p> <p><u>02</u> "CT Brain was done to see for bony extension of the occipital-parietal swelling which confirmed the diagnosis of osteosarcoma of the brain" A CT-scan does not confirm any diagnosis of osteosarcoma without a histopathological exam. Where are the results of some histopathological exam? Please present a microphotograph of the histopathological exam, otherwise the diagnosis of osteosarcoma is a mere allegation.</p> <p><u>03</u> Please add to the manuscript a picture of the PET scan.</p> <p><u>04</u> The biggest issue with the case report is the lack of follow-up.</p> <p><u>05</u> "Long-term survival was obtained in our patient after his craniotomy and excision of primary tumor due to timely intervention." Which "long-term survival"? This patient was not even followed up!</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bruno Chrcanovic
Department, University & Country	Malmö University, Sweden