

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_93385
Title of the Manuscript:	Measurement and Modeling of Radiation dose levels versus activity used in the working areas of the nuclear medicine unit of Mulago national referral and teaching hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 55-57: "the staff in the unit, the patient, the patient care taker and the general public, would want to know the radiation dose levels in the different working areas of the unit." → as a scientific article, your manuscript should contribute to scientific community, not only to patient care taker and general public. Contribution to scientific community will immediately be fulfilled when the novelty is presented.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Reference manager (e.g. Mendeley) should be usedUse a full name of technetium-99m once, and then use the abbreviation (^{99m}Tc) insteadLine 43: grammatical mistake "is". The use of grammar tool is highly recommended to avoid such a mistakeFor the radioactivity, use international system of unit Becquerel, instead of Curie. You can still add the curie unit after Becquerel.Line 73: Materials are not suitable for the section's name. it is more likely suitable as experimental design	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">You need to add more up-to-date referencesNeed more discussion, you can compare your findings with other publication/reports and discuss why it is similar or different. By doing so, you can get more references	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Miftakul Munir
Department, University & Country	Indonesia