

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_93526
Title of the Manuscript:	Survey, Consumption Methods and Uses of Edible Forest Insects in Selected Local Government Areas, Cross River State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajriz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Edible insects have become a research hotspot in recent year, however, the species information and traditional knowledge of the field is very limited. From this point of view, the work of manuscripts is very meaningful. However, the manuscript still needs to be improved.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The narrative logic of the introduction part is confused, mainly shown in: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The last sentence in first paragraph in part "1. Introduction" has nothing to do with the main idea of the manuscript, and cannot be derived from the previous narrative. "Most researchers consider insects as either nuisance or as tree wood pests, and should be avoided, suppressed or controlled." The work mentioned in the last sentence in second paragraph in part "1. Introduction" was not performed in the manuscript. The manuscripts did not carry out research and analysis on the nutritional composition of edible insects. The sentence in the 3rd paragraph needs evidence (literature) to support it.. "Though many studies have been carried out on the survey of edible forest insect species in other parts of Nigeria". The paragraphs from 2nd to 5th in part "1. Introduction" need to be re integrated to convey a consistent message. "2.1 Study Area" needs to provide more information, such as regional environment and ethnic groups. "2.1.3 Data Analysis", the data of correlation analysis did not appear in the results or discussions. "3.3 Availability, Collection and.....", "This result supported the findings of Okweche and Atah (2019) that some people in some parts of Nigeria, do not like consuming forest insects", the conclusion does not seem to be drawn from the previous statement. "4.Conclusion", this part needs more in-depth summary. There is a gap between the insect species in the text and the classification information in the Appendix. Readers who lack insect knowledge will not be able to unify the two contents. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> There is some spelling mistakes, mistakes in grammar in the manuscript, please check it. For example, "interms" and "Thi was" in Abstract, "include" and "these study" in 3.3. Some sentences are incomplete, or not expressing the whole meaning, i.e., "Thi was not the same for....." in Abstract, "It is in the light of the above, that the study is intended" in last paragraph of the Introduction. Case errors and extra spaces. "ogojja" in Abstract. extra spaces in "2.1 study area". The text in the Abstract uses two font sizes. For data of the same type, the number of digits after the decimal point should be uniform, and it is better not to exceed four digits. In 3.2, "their crude and primitive ways of collection" maybe the best way, The traditional way deserves attention. Please translate "线性" in Figure 1. I don't think "*" with different colors or numbers are a good way to show differences in Table 2-4. It is suggested that different types of data use different characters or symbols. "red meet" in "4.conclusion" should be "red meat"? The size of the figure in Appendix 1 is too small to show the result. What is the meaning for "Sub-Tot." in Appendix 2? 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> If a map is used to show the location of the survey site in Nigeria, it will be helpful for readers to understand the differences between the three sites. It will be helpful to understand this article by adding some photos of field survey, local sales and insect eating. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Min Zhao
Department, University & Country	Institute of Highland Forest Science, Chinese Academy of Forestry, China