

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_93507
Title of the Manuscript:	Offline Handwritten Character Recognition Including Compound Character from Scanned Document
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In line 64 the phrase "leftover of the paper" may be replaced by another phrase such as "the remaining part of the paper"2. In result section 4.1 It is claim that the segmentation result is almost 100 % while in section 4.4. error analysis, it is mentioned that there exist segmentation error. This two statements are contradicting. The exact segmentation accuracy value may be given in section 4.1.3. In section 4.3, a comparison of previous methods is provided. But in line nos. 343-344 it is mentioned that the results other methods are for different datasets, so it cannot be claim that the proposed method is better than previous works. A separate comparison may be given based on the outcome of different classifiers like SVM, FLDA etc., tested on the same dataset.4. There are some grammatical mistakes which may be corrected in the revised paper.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Romesh Laishram
Department, University & Country	Manipur University, India