

1 **Offline Handwritten Character Recognition Including Compound** 2 **Character from Scanned Document**

8 **Abstract**

9 Recognizing the handwritten characters and converting them into machine-editable
10 text is very tedious due to the diversity of writing styles and character patterns. Ex-
11 tracting data from images and identifying the characters becomes more complicated
12 when a language consists of compound structures and characters, such as Bengali.
13 There has been a lack of programs for recognizing Bengali scripted basic and com-
14 plex numeric signs and letters with high accuracy. This paper develops a novel ap-
15 proach to extracting and identifying Bengali handwritten primary characters, digits,
16 and primarily used compound characters. In this proposed model, an image containing
17 Bengali handwritten text takes as input and processed. Then processed images are
18 segmented into lines and characters. The features are extracted from segmented char-
19 acters and recognized using a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). The CNN ob-
20 tains 98.23% accuracy in the training dataset and 96.02% in the validation dataset.
21 Apart from that, the proposed model has gained 89.6% precision and 92.6% recall
22 scores on scanned image data.

23 *Keywords:* Character Recognition; Computer vision; Image Processing; Artificial In-
24 telligence; Deep Learning.

25 **1. Introduction**

26 OCR (optical character recognition) is a technique that solves the difficulty of recognizing
27 a wide range of characters. Handwritten and printed characters can be detected and translated
28 into a digital data format that machines can read. It is a method of converting photographs,
29 photos of letters, typewritten material, or vehicle number plates into information that a com-

30 puter or other device can easily understand [1]. For processing typewritten text, OCR is used.
31 OCR takes the typewritten text data and processes it to make it computer-readable. It mainly
32 recognizes individual characters. To acknowledge a whole typewritten text word optical word
33 recognition technique is used. This OCR is primarily used for digital dictionaries, identifying
34 words and making suggestions. Intelligent Character Recognition is mainly used for hand-
35 written documents. It recognizes individual characters from a scanned document. From
36 scanned papers, intelligent word recognition finds handwritten words[2]. Handwriting char-
37 acter recognition is a subset of OCR that focuses on a computer's ability to accept and inter-
38 pret comprehensible handwritten input from various sources, including paper documents, pic-
39 tures, touch screens, and other devices.

40 The primary task for recognizing handwritten characters is to segment the surface and then
41 classify those characters. Character segmentation is a process that attempts to break down a
42 picture of a series of characters into individual symbol sub-images[3]. There are several seg-
43 mentation techniques, and they can be broadly classified into three categories [4]. Histogram
44 grounded projection analysis in the explicit segmentation category is a well-known approach
45 for segmenting lines and characters from images. It may also be employed during the detec-
46 tion stage[5]. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) and other deep learning approaches
47 have significantly advanced state-of-the-art voice recognition, visual object identification,
48 object detection, and many other disciplines[6]. Optical character recognition (OCR) is critical
49 for quickly converting handwritten materials into digital text files.

50 There, one can find many handwritten character recognition models or systems for English,
51 but it is hard to find a model with reasonable accuracy for the Bengali language. Even though
52 Bengali is one of the major spoken languages in the world (around 228 million native speak-
53 ers) and it is recognized as the 7th largest native language, little work exists on OCR. This is
54 because of the longhand nature and complex structure of Bengali characters. In the Bengali

55 language, there are 11 vowels, 49 consonants, and they also contain compound alphabets
56 (Juktakkhors). This paper develops a system to recognize handwritten Bengali characters,
57 including compound characters. This system is necessary because it can be used to detect
58 characters by reviewing historical writings, bank cheques, Certificate verification, and many
59 day-to-day work-related documents. This has been done by using several Computer vision
60 methods and Deep learning algorithms such as Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). It pro-
61 vides better performance on object detection and recognition. A better neural network archi-
62 tecture offers better accuracy and efficiency.

63

64 The leftover of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 offers a brief review of related
65 work in the field of character recognition using neural networks. Section 3 sets out in detail
66 the examined methodology and different techniques. Section 4 shows the experimental results
67 and error analysis; section 5 consists of the conclusion and future improvements.

68 **2. Related Work**

69 Contributions Robust methods for character segmentation and recognition for multilingual
70 (Latin and Devanagari) Indian document images have been developed previously by Parul
71 Sahare & Sanjay B. Dhok, 2019 [7]. Kumar, Jindal, and Sharma proposed an effective offline
72 handwritten Gurmukhi letter identification system based on diagonal features and transitional
73 characteristics using a k-NN classifier[8]. The distribution of points on a character's bitmap
74 image determined the character's diagonal and transitional properties. Using diagonal features
75 and a k-NN classifier, the suggested system achieved maximum recognition accuracy of
76 94.12%. The idea of utilizing k nearest neighbor for Bengali handwriting is devised from this
77 paper.

78 Ms. Snehal Pachpande and Prof. Anagha Chaudhari, 2017 [1] presented a segmentation tech-
79 nique of words from the Devanagari script. The image was scanned using a flatbed scanner

80 and binarized to convert to a binary representation of 0s and 1s. On a binarized picture, some
81 distortions were removed using a median filter. The study found that the histogram method-
82 ology is the most accurate segmentation method, with 98.1 percent accuracy for word seg-
83 mentation.

84

85 An offline handwriting recognition system for Bangla script using sequential detection of
86 characters and diacritics with a Faster R-CNN was presented by Nishatul Majid and Elisa H.
87 Barney Smith [9]. In a fully segmentation-free technique, the characters and related diacritics
88 were recognized individually using various networks termed C-Net and D-Net. Both net-
89 works were prepared with transfer learning from VGG-16. They employed essay scripts from
90 the Boise State Bangla Handwriting Dataset and traditional data augmentation approaches for
91 training and testing. The F1 scores for the C-Net and D-Net networks are 89.6% and 93.2%,
92 respectively. These detection modules were combined into a word recognition unit with a
93 CER of 11.2% and a WER of 24.4. A spell checker reduced the number of mistakes to 8.9%
94 and 21.5 %, respectively.

95 This approach is likely to work on numerous additional Abugida scripts related to Bang-
96 la. Sadia Chowdhury et al. [10] suggested a system that accepts a scanned picture of Bengali
97 handwritten text as input and outputs an editable version of that text after processing. The
98 system is divided into many parts, the most important of which are image processing, ma-
99 chine learning via neural network training, and finally, detection of Bengali letters. The sig-
100 nificant lack of this research is that it cannot identify the compound Bengali alphabet (Juk-
101 takkhors).

102 A Convolutional Neural Net architecture model called “EkushNet” was proposed by Azad
103 Rabby et al., 2018 [11], which can recognize fundamental Bengali letters, modifiers, digits,
104 and familiar complex characters written in Bengali handwriting. It achieves recognition accu-

105 racy of 97.73% on the Ekush dataset and 95.01% cross-validation accuracy on the CMA-
106 TERdb dataset.


107 In this research, the proposed model is divided into different modules, and each module is
108 further divided into smaller portions for programming benefit. The modular approach helps to
109 detect programming errors easily. Our research is divided into three main modules: image
110 preprocessing, Segmentation and Training of the Neural Network model & character recogni-
111 tion. By considering the result of each module, we move forward to the next step, and those
112 main modules are also divided into some other submodules.

113


114 3.1 Image Preprocessing

115 Preprocessing is one of the most critical aspects of OCR since the accuracy of the
116 OCR system is strongly dependent on the quality of Preprocessing and Segmentation.

117 The first step, Binarization, is converting a colored image into an image consisting only of
118 black and white pixels. It helps identify the letter from the background and makes the pro-
119 cessing more accessible and time complexity lesser. Sometimes a scanned document might
120 be slightly skewed, which means the image is aligned at a certain angle with horizontal. In
121 the Noise reduction stage, we smoothen the picture by eliminating smaller dots/patches with
122 higher intensity than the rest. Because various writers have distinct writing styles and hence
123 varying stroke widths, dilation is used to adjust the consistency of stroke width.



124 (a)



(b)

125 **Fig. 1.** Preprocessed image (a) Original scanned image, (b) image after preprocessing.

126

127 3.2 Segmentation

128 The ability to recognize characters is highly dependent on the accurate segmentation
 129 of a document. Our research uses a process to segment the scanned copy in lines and charac-
 130 ters using conventional horizontal and vertical projection profile algorithms. Character seg-
 131 mentation is quicker than the traditional segmenting of all characters from a text using linked
 132 component processing. The process of segmentation includes the following steps:

133




Fig. 2. (a) Line level, (b) Character level segmentation of the preprocessed image

134

135 After the segmentation, we save these segmented characters into separate folders for further
 136 processing and later feed these images to our neural network model to recognize the hand-
 137 written character.

138

139

140 3.3 Training of Neural Network Model & Character Recognition

141 Training neural network models and character recognition is our system's last and
 142 most crucial stage. It can be treated as the brain of the whole system. It acts like a human
 143 brain to learn the pattern by accounting for many examples of the same thing; after correct
 144 learning, it can recognize those patterns. Thus, how neural network exhibits intelligence. The
 145 Neural Network Model and character recognition module training consist of several essential
 146 submodules. They play an indispensable role in recognizing characters with a high accuracy
 147 rate.

148

149 **3.3.1 Dataset Preparing**

150 To train a Neural network model, we need to prepare a dataset. The preparation of the dataset
 151 reduces the computational complexity and allows for better performance in less time. This
 152 research uses the publicly available Ekush [11] dataset to train and test our model. We also
 153 provide our own customize data for cross-validation. This dataset is vast and has numerous
 154 raw sample images for each Bengali alphabet. Ekush data set contain ten modifiers, 50 prima-
 155 ry characters, 52 compound characters, and ten digits. So, in total, we use 122 classes for
 156 training and testing the neural network. As mentioned earlier, binarization makes processing
 157 more accessible and less time complex. We apply binarization to the datasets, which helps to
 158 identify the letter from the background. The binarization results in the image's background
 159 being black, and the characters are white.

160

161

162



163

Fig. 3. Training data sample

164

165 Resizing is another crucial aspect of dataset preparation as the images of the collected dataset
 166 are different in size. We have resized these images into 32×32 pixels. In the final stage of da-
 167 taset preparation, we split the dataset into training and testing sets. For our research, we use
 168 36604 image files belonging to 122 classes. We split our data set 80% for training and 20%
 169 for testing. So, we have 29284 images for training and 7320 images for testing/ validation.

170

171


Found 36604 files belonging to 122 classes.
 Using 29284 files for training.
 Found 36604 files belonging to 122 classes.
 Using 7320 files for validation.

172 3.3.2 Train the Model

173 Our used model is a supervised deep learning neural network model. That means it
 174 learns for all weights and biases from labeled data. This way, our system learns characters'
 175 patterns by extracting the features from given images. The primary computational part of our
 176 system is done in this section.

177 I. Neural Network Architecture

178 We use a multilayer Convolutional Neural network for training our neural network
 179 model and classify Bangla handwritten characters into 122 classes. Here we use the convolu-
 180 tion layer, max-pooling layer, batch normalization, and fully connected dense layer. In our
 181 CNN architecture, we define our model as a sequential classifier. Layer 1 and layer 2 of the
 182 classifier is a 2D convolutional layer with a filter size of 64, kernel size of (3,3), and ReLu is
 183 used as an activation function. Following these two layers, we use a MaxPooling layer with
 184 pool size (2,2) and a dropout layer with about 15% drop in layer three and layer 4. This drop-
 185 out layer is used to prevent the overfitting of data into training.



186

187 **Fig. 4.** CNN Architecture

188

189 We use a convolutional layer with a filter size of 64, kernel size (3,3), and ReLu activation
 190 function in layer five. We apply batch normalization to regularize the training data in layer

191 six. Next, layer 7 uses a convolutional layer with the same configuration as layer 5. Layers 8
 192 and 9 use the same structure as layers three and 6. The same thing is repeated in layers 10 and
 193 11 as in layers 7 and 8.

194

195 After these 11 operations, we transform the output into a flattened array by applying it to the
 196 flattened layer, which is layer 12. Then it is passed through a fully connected dense layer with
 197 2048 hidden units and ReLu as an activation function. After this, we applied 15% dropout. In
 198 the final layer, we apply a fully connected dense layer with 122 units as we have 122 classes
 199 and use softmax as an activation function for the multiclass classifier.

200 The softmax function is defined as below:

$$201 \quad \sigma(\vec{z})_i = \frac{e^{z_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^k e^{z_j}}$$

202 Where k = number of classes, z_i = input vector;

203

204 II. Optimization

205 We use optimizer algorithms to optimize our CNN model, which eventually helps re-
 206 duce the error rate. The Adam optimizer updates its weights using the following equation,.

$$207 \quad w_t = w_{t-1} - \eta \frac{\hat{m}_t}{\sqrt{\hat{v}_t + \epsilon}}$$

208 Where w = model weights

209 η = Step size (depend on iteration),

210

211 III. Loss Calculation

212 We use categorical cross-entropy to calculate the loss of our multiclass classification model.

213 These are problems in which an example can only fit into one of several potential categories,

214 and the model must choose one. Categorical cross-entropy finds the loss using the following
215 equation;

216 where t_i and $s_i =$ ground truth

$$217 \quad CE = -\sum_i^C t_i \log(f(s)_i)$$

218

219 **IV. Training the Model**

220 We train our model on the dataset with a batch size of 32. While splitting the dataset,
221 we keep the shuffle “True” with a seed 128. The optimization algorithm helps to reduce the
222 error and converge faster by decreasing the learning rate. After running 70 epochs, we obtain
223 a good accuracy with our training dataset.

224

225 **3.4 Testing the Model**

226 In the final part, we evaluate our model with various testing data. First, we test our mod-
227 el with the testing data spliced from the primary data set. Then we try our model with the data
228 taken as a scanned document and segmented into characters. We measure how accurately our
229 model predicts these custom data that belong to a specific class.

230

231 **3.5 Input data into The System**

232 We take a photo of the document with Bangla handwritten characters in our system.
233 The document contains all modifiers, essential characters, digits, and compound Bangla char-
234 acters. We also take a photo of the document containing two Bangla sentences in two lines.
235 These images go through image preprocessing, segmentation, and finally into our trained
236 model to predict the character. Then we analyze the result with different metrics.

237

238


239 4. Experimental Result & Error Analysis

240 The result analysis is divided into two-section, one for the result of the segmentation process
241 and another is convolutional neural network model performance.

242 4.1 Segmentation

243 Our used method for segmentation shows excellent performance on given input data.
244 Our model offers almost 100% accuracy in segmenting the data from the document. To find
245 the accuracy, we use the following equation;

$$246 \text{ Segmentation Accuracy} = \frac{\text{Number of correctly segmented character}}{\text{Total character in document}}$$



247

248 **Fig. 5.** The segmentation output for the second document.

249

250 4.2 Performance of Neural Network

251 The second and most important analysis of our system is measuring the performance
252 of the CNN model. We use different measurement factors for the classification technique to
253 evaluate our neural network model.

254 4.2.1 Measurement Factor of Classification Technique

255 Some evaluation factors are used to analyze the classification problem in deep learning. Some
256 evaluation factors include accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, precision, f1 measure, recall, etc.

257 The confusion matrix determines the exhibition evaluation variables. The evaluation varia-
258 bles are **True Positive (TP), False Positive (FP), True Negative (TN), and False Negative**
259 **(FN).**

260

261 4.2.2 Accuracy


262 Accuracy is the ratio of correct prediction and the total number of classes. The basic
 263 equation for calculating the accuracy equation is as follows;

$$264 \text{ Accuracy} = \frac{\text{True Positive} + \text{True Negative}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{True Negative} + \text{False Positive} + \text{False Negative}}$$

265 After evaluating our model, it shows our model has obtained 98.23% accuracy on the training
 266 set and 96.02% on the validation set, which we get after running the classifier model 70
 267 epochs. We can visualize the training process from the figure given below;

268 Figure 6.(a) shows that the validation accuracy was higher than the training accuracy. But in
 269 the overall scenario, they both have poor accuracy in the initial stage, as our model trains on
 270 more input data features, the training and validation accuracy increases. But the accuracy of
 271 validation is less than the accuracy of training, which is a good sign that our model doesn't
 272 overfit on training data.

273



274

(a)

(b)

275

276 **Fig. 6.** (a) Comparison between training and validation accuracy. (b) Training and
 277 validation loss.


278

279 Figure 6.(b) represent the comparison between training loss and validation loss. It shows that
 280 the training loss and the validation loss were much higher in the initial stage; with every
 281 epoch, both the validation and training loss decreased. Another thing that can be defined from
 282 the graph is that the validation loss is still higher than the training loss. It is because our
 283 training data doesn't overfit.

284

285 4.2.3 Confusion Matrix

286 The confusion matrix table briefly describes the predicted outcome for the classification
 287 problem. We construct two confusion matrixes; one is for the data we split for validation dur-
 288 ing the train test split, and another is for the data we extracted from the scanned document
 289 with individual Bangla characters.



290

291

292 **Fig. 7.** (a)Confusion Matrix for validation data. (b) Scanned data.

293

294 The first confusion matrix shows that it predicts 93% of data correctly, and 7% of data was
 295 miss labeled in the validation data set. The image in figure 7.(b) shows that it predicts 91% of
 296 data correctly, and 9% of data was miss labeled in the data set of the input image.

297

298

299 4.2.4 Precision

300 Precision gives a positive predicted value. That means it shows the ability of a model to pre-
 301 dict correctly out of all all-positive predictions. The equation to calculate the precision score
 302 is as follows;


303

$$304 \text{ Precision} = \frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{True positive} + \text{False Positive}}$$

305

306 We calculated the precision score for both the validation data and the data from the scanned
 307 input image. It gives the score as below;

Table 1 Precision Score	Precision score
Ekush (validation set)	91.4%
Scanned document data	89.6%



308

309 **Fig. 8.** Comparison of a precision score of validation and scanned image data.

310

311 Figure (8) compares validation data with a slightly better precision score than our scanned
 312 image data. but it is still a good precision score for the input scanned image data. It implies
 313 data our model actually can detect 89.6% of data correctly out of all positive predicted data.

314

315 4.2.5 Recall

316 Recall score gives a value that shows the ability of a model to predict positive classes
 317 out of actual positive classes. The equation for calculating the recall score is as below;


318

$$319 \quad \text{Recall} = \frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{True positive} + \text{False Negative}}$$

320

321 We also calculated the recall score for both the validation data and the data from the scanned
322 input image using the above-described equation and methods. It gives the score as below;

Table 2 Recall Score	Recall score
Ekush (validation set)	94.3%
Scanned document data	92.6%



323

324 **Fig. 9.** Comparison of a Recall score of validation and scanned image data.

325 Figure (9) compares validation data with a slightly better recall score than our scanned im-
326 age data. But it is still a good precision score for the input scanned image data. It implies data
327 our model actually can detect 92.6% of data correctly as Positive to the total number of Posi-
328 tive samples.


329 4.2.6 F1 Score

330 F1 measures the precision of the model by a blend of precision and recall. That means
331 it takes false positive and false negative to calculate the F1 score. Often f1 score is calculated
332 to tune the precision and recall value. By the following equation, we can calculate the F1
333 score;

$$334 \quad \text{F1 Score} = \frac{2 * (\text{Recall} * \text{Precision})}{(\text{Recall} + \text{Precision})}$$

335 To test our model, we calculated the F1 Score for both the validation dataset and the scanned
 336 image dataset. We already have calculated the recall and precision score for both values; We
 337 use these values to calculate the F1 score for both dataset and has the score as below:

	F1 score
Ekush (validation set)	92.3%
Scanned document data	90.6%



338

339 **Fig. 10.** Comparison of F1 score of validation and scanned image data.

340 4.3 Result Comparison in different Model.

341 There is no exactly existing system like our proposed system which take scanned
 342 document containing all types of Bengali handwritten characters and recognize them. In the
 343 following table, we present some comparisons on accuracy between some previous proposed
 344 work on different Bangla characters datasets.

345 **Table 4** Result Comparison.

Previous Work	Classifier	Accuracy
Handwritten Bangla Compound Character Recognition Using Gradient Feature[12]	MQDF	80.90%
Bangla Handwritten Character Recognition using Convo- lutional Neural Network [13]	BHCR- CNN	85.96%
Proposed Method	CNN	96.02%

346

347

348 4.4 Error Analysis

349 Though our model gives a good performance measurement, there are some errors. In
350 the segmentation process, our algorithm sometimes cannot segment the character where one
351 character overlaps with another character.



352

353 **Fig. 11.** Overlapped character segmentation error.

354

355 This is because while our algorithm counts the pixel values of the foreground of the image, it
356 sometimes is unable to distinguish these different pixel pixels from another character

357

358 5. Conclusion

359 In this research work, we have developed a model and analyzed the model using dif-
360 ferent algorithms and metrics. We mentioned some errors in result analysis where our seg-
361 mentation technique is not performing well in some scenarios. This research work also ana-
362 lyzes the character recognition problem using different algorithms in different modules.
363 These algorithms give different results and help to achieve the optimal result. From those
364 scores, we can conclude that our proposed model has a state-of-the-art performance in related
365 fields. Our neural network algorithm is still time-consuming and slows down the whole sys-
366 tem. In future work, we aim to optimize our neural network algorithm and comprehensively
367 build a segmentation technique to get a more optimal result.

377 **References**

378

379 [1] Ms. S. Pachpande and A. Prof. Chaudhari, Implementation of Devanagri Character
380 Recognition System Through Pattern Recognition Techniques, 2017 International
381 Conference on Trends in Electronics and Informatics (ICEI). IEEE, 2017.

382 [2] C. C. Tappert, C. Y. Suen, and T. Wakahara, "The State of the Art in On-Line Hand-
383 writing Recognition," 1990.

384 [3] A. Rehman and T. Saba, "Off-line cursive script recognition: Current advances, com-
385 parisons and remaining problems," Artificial Intelligence Review, vol. 37, no. 4, pp.
386 261–288, Apr. 2012, doi: 10.1007/s10462-011-9229-7.

387 [4] A. Choudhary, "A Review of Various Character Segmentation Techniques for Cur-
388 sive Handwritten Words Recognition," 2014. [Online]. Available:
389 <http://www.irphouse.com>

390 [5] B. Hossain, F. Naznin, Y. A. Joarder, Z. Islam, and J. Uddin, Recognition and Solu-
391 tion for Handwritten Equation Using Convolutional Neural Network.

392 [6] Y. Lecun, Y. Bengio, and G. Hinton, "Deep learning," Nature, vol. 521, no. 7553. Na-
393 ture Publishing Group, pp. 436–444, May 27, 2015. doi: 10.1038/nature14539.

394 [7] P. Sahare and S. B. Dhok, "Robust Character Segmentation and Recognition Schemes
395 for Multilingual Indian Document Images," IETE Technical Review (Institution of

- 396 Electronics and Telecommunication Engineers, India), vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 209–222,
397 Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1080/02564602.2018.1450649.
- 398 [8] M. Kumar, M. K. Jindal, and R. K. Sharma, “k -Nearest Neighbor Based Offline
399 Handwritten Gurmukhi Character RecognitionPradesh, India.,” 2011.
- 400 [9] N. Majid and E. H. B. Smith, “Segmentation-free bangla offline handwriting recogni-
401 tion using sequential detection of characters and diacritics with a faster R-CNN,” in
402 Proceedings of the International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition,
403 ICDAR, Sep. 2019, pp. 228–233. doi: 10.1109/ICDAR.2019.00045.
- 404 [10] S. Chowdhury, F. R. Wasee, M. S. Islam, and H. U. Zaman, “Bengali Hand-
405 writing Recognition and Conversion to Editable Text.”
- 406 [11] A. K. M. S. Azad Rabby, S. Haque, S. Abujar, and S. A. Hossain, “Ekushnet:
407 Using convolutional neural network for Bangla handwritten recognition,” in Procedia
408 Computer Science, 2018, vol. 143, pp. 603–610. doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2018.10.437.
- 409 [12] U. Pal, T. Wakabayashi, and F. Kimura, “Handwritten Bangla Compound
410 Character Recognition Using Gradient Feature,” Apr. 2008, pp. 208–213. doi:
411 10.1109/icit.2007.62.
- 412 [13] Md. M. Rahman, M. A. H. Akhand, S. Islam, P. Chandra Shill, and M. M. Ha-
413 fizur Rahman, “Bangla Handwritten Character Recognition using Convolutional Neu-
414 ral Network,” International Journal of Image, Graphics and Signal Processing, vol. 7,
415 no. 8, pp. 42–49, Jul. 2015, doi: 10.5815/ijigsp.201