

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_93885
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute coronary syndrome secondary to scorpion envenomation : A rare case report
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrc.com/index.php/AJRCD/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>This is an interesting case of a cardiac complication following a scorpion envenomation. The case is well presented and all the information provided seems be accurate. Nonetheless, I have some major concerns: - Although authors state that this was an acute coronary syndrome I believe that it was actually a type-2 myocardial infarction since the patient had non-obstructive or unstable coronary lesions. Thereafter, it certainly seems like this case reflects the cardiac involvement of a systemic reaction. - Could this be a case of Tako-Tsubo syndrome? A ventriculography showing apical dyskinesia would have help to rule out this syndrome that is usually preceded by an stressful situation (and a scorpion envenomation might be certainly stressful!)</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I have serious doubts that the case reflects an actual acute coronary syndrome rather than a type 2 myocardial infarction of Tako-Tsubo syndrome, although presents an interesting cardiac complication after an scorpion bite. I think that the title should be changed and the discussion reoriented in these terms	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alberto Cordero
Department, University & Country	Hospital Universitario de San Juan, Spain