

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_93949
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical profiling and GC-MS analysis of the Methanol extract of Anthocleista grandiflora Wood Bark
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No apply.	
Minor REVISION comments	No apply.	
Optional/General comments	<p>It is an interesting manuscript about phytochemical extraction and profiling of a targeted plant. It was well characterized and summarized the composition by CG-MS. Please consider the following comments and suggestions.:</p> <p>i) Check figure positioning and captions. It is missing order and information.</p> <p>ii) There is missing results related with the chromatography resolution. It could be interesting to be added in results or unless in material and methods.</p> <p>lii) It should be revised the use of English. In similar manner improve writing adding more details, description and introductions for each section preparing by this manner to the reader to understand what is showing in this part of the text.</p> <p>lv) It should be added results such as CG and MS, some representative real data recorded and no just only the summary in a table.</p> <p>lv) Check in literature and add references related with this plant. Compare and discuss if it is the case.</p> <p>V) The manuscript merits to be published but it should be revised.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Bracamonte
Department, University & Country	National University of Cordoba, Argentine