

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_93055
Title of the Manuscript:	Ecotourism Development in Sampangan Muncar Beach Banyuwangi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1- It is not clear whether the manuscript is review article or research article. I think it does not satisfies both types. It seems to be just article of general information.</p> <p>2- The review of the latest relevant literature is not adequate. The significance of the study should be clarified at the last paragraph of this section.</p> <p>3-What are the materials used? What is the methodology of the study?</p> <p>4- Reference for all pictures and informaion taken from previous studies should be indicated.</p> <p>5-repeating the names extensively throughout the manuscript may affect significantly the readability of the manuscript.</p> <p>6-Conclusions are not adequate. conclusions should be specific and refelct the significance of the study.</p> <p>7- No. of ref. Is not adequate. The latest relevant studies should be cited.</p> <p>8- More comments are included in the reviewer attachment.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	1-The manuscript should be submitted to full proof reading.	
Optional/General comments	Non.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Qasim M. Shakir
Department, University & Country	University of Kufa, Iraq