

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_93852
Title of the Manuscript:	On the Riemann Hypothesis
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author should add more relevant references to his References (bibliography) and give some description of these papers in his paper. As he has also dealt the topic of the Riemann Hypothesis from the point of view of topology he should cite some existing published papers in this category and point out how his study differs from these studies. He has mentioned References [2-4] in the following lines on p. 1 of his paper:</p> <p>"We now recall some definitions about complex numbers regarding addition, multiplication and exponentiation of complex numbers [2-4]."</p> <p>But these references do not appear in his bibliography. A justification for eq. (4) on p. 1 where this equation appears in the existing mathematics literature for an inquisitive reader is also to be given. The author should cite that relevant portion of the Literature here. The affiliation of the Author should be given, even if the learned author is an independent researcher then, his complete postal address and email should also be published.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lalit Mohan Upadhyaya
Department, University & Country	Municipal Post Graduate College, India