

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_93028
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of autoregressive moving average model in the prediction of COVID-19 of China
Type of the Article	Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>(1) The author(s) should look at journal format of Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics and ensure that their abstract follows the journal's format.</p> <p>(2) The author(s) should give the full meaning of each abbreviation the first time it appeared in the body of the manuscript. (see pages 2, 3,4... of the introduction section).</p> <p>(3) The author(s) kept on using at the same time "autoregressive integrated moving average" and in parenthesis its abbreviation "ARIMA" all through the work. That's using "autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA)" or "autoregressive comprehensive moving average (ARIMA)" all through the work after they had given the meaning of the abbreviation. ARIMA alone is enough. The author(s) should go through their work and correct accordingly. See pages 4, 5, 6, etc.</p> <p>(4) Section 3. Materials and Methods – the author(s) should correct subsection numberings. E.g 2.1 Data Sources, 2.2 Methods, etc. should be 3.1 Data Sources, 3.2 Methods, etc. Also, section 4. Results and Discussions – 3.2 Model identification and construction, and 3.2.1 the characteristic description of stationarity trend of the data, should be corrected appropriately.</p> <p>(5) The author(s) should be consistent in the use of tenses (past or present) in reporting their results in Section 4 and in their materials and methods in Section 3.</p> <p>(6) Section 5. Conclusion – The author(s) should recast the conclusion section, making it concise and direct to the required points or information expected in conclusion section. It is lengthy and there are a lot of information or statements there that are not necessary in conclusion section (see pages 16 and 17). Some of them should be moved to Results and Discussion section or Materials and methods section or Introduction section or discarded.</p> <p>(7) Reference List – The author(s) should be consistent in their referencing style. The author(s) can compare Refs [3], [9], [12], [16], [17], [19], [20] to others.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uchendu O. Onwurah
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria