

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_93105
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE PHYTOCHEMICAL, PROXIMATE, AND in vitro ANTIHYPERGLYCEMIC ANALYSES OF THE STEM BARK EXTRACTS OF <i>Kigelia africana</i> (Lam.) Benth. AND <i>Annona senegalensis</i> Pers.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work is publishable after corrections. There were conflicting reports in discussion. No table showing % , yet the author made reference to it severally. Tables not self explanatory. See comments in text. Presentation language should ne over hauled. Use appropriate words and tenses peculiar to pharmacology. The author requires additional mentoring in scientific presentation of findings.	
Minor REVISION comments	See in text comments	
Optional/General comments	The article has to be re written to meet best practices.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunday Oritsetimenyin Otimenyin
Department, University & Country	University of Jos, Nigeria