

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_93535
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of clinical profile & outcome in elderly patients of COVID-19
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

**Review Form 1.6**

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title</b></p> <p>It not very clear.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please replace "of" with "with".</li> </ol> <p><b>Abstract</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. All abbreviations in the Abstract must be written in full.</li> <li>2. Materials and methods should state the number of people in each group and even add the gender</li> </ol> <p><b>Materials/Methods</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please define the groups in depth, who are the survivors and non survivors, was there any time bound to determine this?</li> <li>2. This section should be written into details to make the result section easily understandable. All characteristics in the tables must be defined here.</li> <li>3. The location or area of study as well as her demography should be included here.</li> </ol> <p><b>Results</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The formula for calculating the % scale in the tables is to be given in the materials and method section or explained in the legend.</li> <li>2. Graph 1 what parameter was on the y-axis it was not labelled. Furthermore, the statistical differences are not showed on the graph.</li> <li>3. Outcome as mentioned in the title was not represented.</li> </ol> <p><b>Conclusion</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Conclusion is too vague compared to the sample size used it should be narrowed.</li> </ol> <p>Conclusion of the abstract and that of the main conclusions are different</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>General comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Minor English check needed especially with sentence construction and inputting of punctuations. I suggest the manuscript is checked by an English native speaker.</li> <li>2. Lack of line numbers make the reviewing of the paper somewhat very difficult.</li> <li>3. The article did not represent the significance of the study in both the Abstract and Introduction.</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Samuel Kumi Okyere</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wayne State University, United States of America</b>