

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_82785
Title of the Manuscript:	MEDIATING INFLUENCE OF SELF ESTEEM IN THE PREDICTION BETWEEN PERSONALITY TRAITS AND ALCOHOL ABUSE ANONG EBONYI STATE UNIVERSITY STUDENTS.
Type of the Article	THIS SEEMS TO ME A “DISSERTATION”

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It is a publishable piece of work. However, there is need for the author to guided by the journal pushing policy of abiding by the SDI-Paper Template, which specifies as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Use of number system (like Vancouver with Square bracket) in referencing list, and use of numbers with square bracket in citations in the body of the manuscript. The APA reference style was used here. 2) The AJMAH recommend the use of structured abstract in the presentation of study summary. This format as presented here is an unstructured – written as prose – hence not according to journal specification, and hence need to be re-written. 3) The AJMAH recommend that the maximum word content should not be more than 6000. This paper has 14,989 words. 4) Is the journal publishing thesis or dissertation? This seem not to be included in the list of papers published by the journal. 5) The AIM/OBJECTIVES OF THE SYUDY SHOULD BE PRESENTED IN DETAIL SUCH THAT IT IS “SMART”: S=Specific M=Measurable A=Achievable/Attainable R=Reproducible T=Time-bound The period or time in history that this study was carried out seem to have been omitted. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	My advice therefore, is for the author to split the work into smaller papers; or where the journal considers publishing dissertations or thesis, consideration can be given to the whole bulk after above major changes are made for resubmission.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rex Friday Ogoronte Alderton Ijah
Department, University & Country	PAMO University of Madical Sciences and Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria