

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_92700
Title of the Manuscript:	Contributions of Ethiopian Women in Farming & Its Allied Fields
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: it is completely required rewriting work Objectives: they are not clearly put the general and specific objectives separately as a title format. It is simply put on the final paragraph of the introduction part. Hypothesis: There is no research hypothesis or research question Methodology part: it is more or less good as compare to other parts Results and discussion part: it is good but it needs clarification in some parts like table 2, 4, 7, 8 and others Conclusions & recommendations part: this part is relatively good but it very wide and some points out of the title. So, it needs revision. References part: This part is completely requiring rework. It has a great problems almost all the references are listed in the reference part are not in the internal document and vice versa almost all cited scholars in the document are not mentioned in the reference part.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The title is current and relevant topic but the document is vigorously required revision. So, the authors should be seriously worked the comments and the paper will be published.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nahusenay Abate Dessie
Department, University & Country	Samara University, Ethiopia