



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENTS on revised paper (if any)	Authors response to editors comments
<p>1. the manuscript is weak. the scope of the research is very limited, the discussion is poor, and the summarized conclusions do not result from the authors' research, I have marked additional comments in the attached file.</p> <p>2. The following references are more relevant to your paper; it should be included.</p> <p>Ullah, M., Memon, S., A, Ali, A., Rashid, M. (2021). Exogenous nitric oxide reduces postharvest anthracnose disease and maintains quality of custard apple (<i>Annona squamosa</i> L.) fruit during ripening. <i>Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization</i>, 15(1), 707–716</p> <p>Khaliq, G., Saleh A, Bugti G. A., Hakeem K. R. (2020). Guggul gum incorporated with basil essential oil improves quality and modulates cell wall-degrading enzymes of jamun fruit during storage. <i>Scientia Horticulturae</i>, 273. 109608</p>	<p>If that is how you see this paper, then it should not be published. Thank you for the time and effort spent in the review process.</p>