

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_93927
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Apocyclops royi (Cyclopoida, Copepoda) nauplii as live prey for the first feed larvae of silver pompano, Trachinotus blochii
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The author(s) must pay attention to the scientific names – all of them must be written in italic style	
Optional/General comments	Few corrections are needed to the manuscript This short communication can be accepted as it is. There is a comparison about larvae rearing with copepods and rotifers useful elsewhere.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> In the methodology section, there is no information about Ethics Committee approval of this trial. The author(s) must add this information.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	André Luiz Veiga Conrado
Department, University & Country	University of São Paulo, Brazil