

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_93522
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Welfare Levels of Fishermen Workers of Gill Net Fishing Equipment in Karangsong Village, Indramayu Regency
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We strongly suggest to avoid rhetoric in the work, which causes too much inconsequential writing. It should be written more concise and concrete. For example, the title is very long (17 words) and can be summarized. We suggest shortening it without losing the content of the work, for example: "Social and economic analysis of gillnet fishermen in Karangsong, Indonesia" (10 words) The first paragraph in Abstract: "Indonesia is an archipelagic country with a high diversity of aquatic biological resources, so it has the potential for sustainable fisheries resources that can accommodate the economy (27 words) ,may be substitute by: "Indonesia is an archipelago with a diversity of sustainable fishery resources with economic value". (14 words) In our opinion, that is enough. There are many redundancies which should be omitted in the text. This also happens in practically all the text of the document. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the title of the work, only the first word and the name of the places should be capitalized, example: "Analysis of welfare levels of fishermen workers of gill net fishing equipment in Karangsong Village, Indramayu Regency" When a nomenclature is used to mark bibliographic citations, example: [5], it must be in ascending order, consecutively, until the last citation in the text. In the Reference, the citations should be numbered in order of appearance in the text. The tables must be numbered ordinally (I, II, III) and the title must be underlined. 	
Optional/General comments	The content is not debatable, but the way it is approached could be improved if what the author obtains as results is organized and specified. For more details of the review comments in the document.	

Comment [UdW1]: We strongly suggest to avoid rhetoric in the work, which causes too much inconsequential writing. It should be written more concise and concrete. For example, in this paragraph, which corresponds to the first paragraph of the Introduction, this aspect is highlighted. A writing suggestion for this paragraph would be: "Indonesia is an archipelago with a diversity of sustainable fishery resources with economic value". In our opinion, that is enough.
Reasons: Indonesia is a country, therefore it is redundant to describe it as such. It also occurs with: "great diversity of aquatic biological resources, so the potential for sustainable fishery resources that can adapt to the economy" If we are going to refer to fisheries, it is not necessary to specify that they are aquatic biological resources.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luis Remedios Hernández
Department, University & Country	Cuban Association of Veterinary Medicine, Cuba