

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJFAR_93178</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Utilization of fish bone waste for food</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with Reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	1- English language and writing style should be carefully checked. 2- Certain basic terms are not clearly explained.  3- The abstract is short and does not show some significant research findings. 4- The introduction is too short and needs to be more informative, using up-to-date references. 5- The literature review in the "Introduction" needs to be improved because the authors do not clearly describe the progress in this field. The authors are invited to cover all trends of Utilization of fish bone waste for food in their article's theoretical background. Here are some examples that can be involved such as: <a href="https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/871/1/012013/meta">https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/871/1/012013/meta</a> ; <a href="https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/206/1/012096/meta">https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1757-899X/206/1/012096/meta</a> . 6- The sequence of references in the article must be corrected. 7- The main objective of the paper at the end of the introduction section must be written in a more concise way than the abstract section. 8- Tables must include cited references. Please do not use footnotes to cite references. 9- The authors must use one style for refrence. Please follow the journal instructions. 10- Do not use the snapshot to print tables, and the authors must get legal permission to utilize them 11- The conclusion is short and must answer the research objectives 12- Conclusion section is missing some perspectives related to the future research work 13- In the review articles, it is recommended to use figures and graphics as informative tools.  Based on the comments included above, the Reviewer concludes that the manuscript is suitable for publication with a major correction	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Saleem Ethaib</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>College of Engineering, University of Thi-Qar, Iraq</b>