

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_92896
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of The Exchange Rate of Small-scale Trammel Net Fishermen in Pangandaran District, Pangandaran Regency
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This work is interesting for preserve shirmp in the local popular place as Pangandaran. However,in the introduction part lack of some details about the utilisation of this work for fisherman or for government. Thus in an abstract ,the author should include the important point of your work for social who read this paper.In the methodology,the author should add the details about NTN meaning and how to estimate the results in details after the part2.1.1 and explain if the value of NTN >100, NTN=100 and NTN <100 in details. Results and discussion were good but in 3.2.5 and 3.2.6 look like error in both paragrah. seriously Conclusion was too short,the author should describe in concentrate point and add the application of the paper.	
Minor REVISION comments	1. Please rewrite as above comment. In Introduction part. 2. Rewrite abstract. 3. The result should present the error or standard deviation in each table.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pornpimol Muangthai
Department, University & Country	Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand