

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_93945
Title of the Manuscript:	The Mediating Effect of Students' Resiliency to the Relationship of Self-concept and Mathematics Performance
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>INTRODUCTION The introduction is too general and less focus to the contexts of this study. It should provide the information (issues) about students'(primary or secondary or university?) mathematics in Philippine? Why resiliency is significant in this contexts? What are the limitations of the related previous studies? It shows the significance of this study.</p> <p>RESEARCH INSTRUMENT What about the mathematics performance instrument? Author need to explain it. Did author adapt or adopt it (self concept)? Please explain clearly the dimensions involved with the number of items Did author adapt or adopt it (resilience)? Please explain clearly the dimensions involved with the number of items. Citation? How the formula was applied?</p> <p>DATA GATHERING This information is unclear. Is it the standardised test or school level test? If school level test, how did author justify the equivalence of the test?</p> <p>Findings What are the sources referred to determine the level?</p> <p>TABLE 3 what are the meaning for these constructs? Author should clearly explain in the subheading of instrumentation.</p> <p>CONCLUSION DAN RECOMMENDATION It is not advisable to present discussion in the number labeling form. Author did not discuss the findings comprehensively and critically, supported by the previous stuides' finding and related sources. Author more to repeat the findings in this part. The recomendation is only in a sentence (last sentence)</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lim Hooi Lian
Department, University & Country	School of Educational Studies, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia