

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_93610
Title of the Manuscript:	Transforming rural female learners' under-representation in Advanced Level science subjects in Zimbabwe
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It was a good topic that looks like an overview than an empirical study. The abstract and the introduction which also served as the literature review and contains the statement of the problem as well as the research question gave a good background of the study. The methodology looks good. . All the same,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The work would have made a good reading supposing it was well presented. 2. The author(s) should if they want the work to be empirical come up with the sub-heading 'Data presentation and analysis'. 3. The discussion should be based on data presented and analyzed as the discussion makes the work an overview and not empirical. 4. Better still, the work can be treated as an overview. 5. The author(s) should check their punctuations and delete all areas marked with red and apply those in green words. 6. See comment in the main manuscript. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Onwubiko Emmanuel Chidiadi
Department, University & Country	Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Nigeria