

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_93453
Title of the Manuscript:	Education and medical practice in Ancient Greece, the Alexandrian period and the Western Roman Empire. Advantages and disadvantages from a contemporary perspective.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Its just a simple historic events reproduced with out any rational/ justification</p> <p>SEARCH CRITERIA: add search criteria, key words used for search, duration and website or search engine used to down load material for this review.</p> <p>INTRODUCTION: too brief, give rational/justification of this review and objective of this review along with historic back ground.</p> <p>OBJECTIVE: should be clearly mentioned for writing this review</p> <p>RATIONALE: Give rational/justification of this review and how it will affect the existing knowledge or practice and how it will affect the existing knowledge or practice</p> <p>TITLE: should not reflect the informations given in review. It should be align</p> <p>LITERATURE REVIEW: not up to the standard of review and no literature discussion given with rational/justifications and out come. It should be comprehensive instead of just narration of historic facts with comparision /outcome</p> <p>CONCLUSION: Should be align with title/ objective/rationale of the review</p> <p>FIGURES: All figures given has no role in improving contents of the review and are irrelevant</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Look for grammar, sentence formation, reptations at places	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ishtiaq Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Al-Nafees Medical College, Isra University, Pakistan