

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_93051
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MOTHERS' SOCIO-ECONOMIC ENGAGEMENT AND PREPRIMARY SCHOOL PUPILS' PARTICIPATION IN LEARNING IN KILIFI SOUTH SUB-COUNTY, KILIFI COUNTY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper approaches a significant topic. The structure of the paper is clearly presented and argued. Each paragraph contains the specific elements, presented in a clear and cursive way. The conclusions are relevant and specific. The bibliographic resources are in appropriate number and relevant for the research performed.	
Minor REVISION comments	Include all the authors (mentioned in the text) in the bibliographic list; there are a lot of authors indicated in text but not mentioned in the final bibliographic list, probably because the paper is the result of a larger research (doctoral / master thesis). In this case maybe it will be useful to add a note that mention "This paper is a result of a research made in a doctoral / master programme....". There is at least one inappropriate formulation - p. 2 substancial = correct substantial; please verify the whole document.	
Optional/General comments	Very interesting topic. It is an adequately documented paper, with a well-defined research design and conclusions relevant to Early childhood Care and Education. The recommended (minor) changes concern the form and not the content of the paper.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Irina-Teodora Manolescu
Department, University & Country	Alexandru Ioan Cuza University, Romania