

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_92975
Title of the Manuscript:	A Conceptual Paper on the Contribution of Individual Leadership Attributes towards Students' Academic Performance in Arusha City Public Primary Schools, Tanzania
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The title must be revise The abstract is generally detailed. However, there is need to rewrite the abstract in past tense. In addition, the author should check on grammatical errors The introduction section could be merged with background. Otherwise as it is background remains too sketchy Statement of the problem is fairly done Objectives of the study should be restated (See comments in main documents) Significance of the study fairly done. However, could be reworked to achieve coherence Literature review has been fairly done Methodology section should be reworked by removing theory and transferring that to theoretical framework. See comments in main document Discussion section is inadequate. The findings of the study are inadequate. The author intended to use mixed research methods. Quantitative data was to be collected and analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (Version 21), while qualitative data was to be analysed using open coding to get categories- themes. Documentary review, questionnaire and interview were to be used for data collection. <i>However, there is no evidence that this was done.</i> 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Whereas there are pertinent issues to be addressed to improve the quality of the paper, overall, it has the potential of adding new knowledge to the field of education	

Comment [u1]: Rewrite in past tense

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manasi Echaune
Department, University & Country	Kibabii University, Kenya