

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_92341</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PERSONAL SECURITY IN PUBLIC TRANSPORT IN PALAWAN: WOMEN COMMUTERS'S EXPERIENCES</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The study investigates women's safety on public transport in the Palawan region. This is a topic that has been extensively studied in the scientific evidence, so further literature review is needed in the introduction. Thus, data on women's perceived safety or risk as evidenced in multiple previous studies should be included, including the risk of sexual harassment or other types of violence (e.g. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2020.06.018">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2020.06.018</a> and <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jth.2019.100817">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jth.2019.100817</a>). Changes in mobility patterns resulting from users' perceptions of safety (e.g. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/frsc.2020.00042">https://doi.org/10.3389/frsc.2020.00042</a> and <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/infrastructures6110153">https://doi.org/10.3390/infrastructures6110153</a>) should also be mentioned, as well as other aspects to contextualise the issue. Data on Palawan's current mobility patterns (what types of public transport exist in the region and their prevalence of use) should also be provided.</p> <p>The methodology needs to be further developed. Especially the characteristics of the interview in terms of formal aspects (duration, data collection methods, etc.), and content aspects (variables investigated and questions asked) should be outlined.</p> <p>The discussion, although presented together with the results, should explain and contrast the data obtained in the study with other similar research. Thus, the authors should answer questions such as: were the results in line with expectations, are the results congruent with other similar research? And if not, which elements explain the discrepancies that have occurred? In addition, it would be interesting to include the benefits of the application of communication campaigns that complement educational programmes, as the evidence indicates that substantially better results are obtained in behaviour change, compared to the isolated application of such measures (<a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/safety7040066">https://doi.org/10.3390/safety7040066</a> and <a href="https://doi.org/10.5114/jhi.2016.61421">https://doi.org/10.5114/jhi.2016.61421</a>).</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The fact that there are only 15 participants limits the representativeness of the results, although they still provide a first approximation of the problem. This aspect should be included in the limitations of the study.</p> <p>In addition, the ethical aspects of the study should be included in the methodology.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The objectives of the study are interesting and have important practical applications, especially in providing information to authorities for the establishment of specific preventive measures. However, substantial modifications must be made to the manuscript in order to be considered for publication.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mireia Faus</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Research Institute on Traffic and Road Safety (INTRAS), University of Valencia, Spain</b>