

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_90460
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitude And Achievement Of Pupils In Sta. Marcela Central School Towards Math Modules
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The proposed methodology is not introduced clearly in Section 3. But it is preferable to add a figure that represents your methodology (approach). What are the practical and managerial implications of what you are proposing? This should be a separate strong section. The conclusion is short. The authors should give more attention to more elaboration of the establishment of the conclusion section with summarization of work and findings pertaining to future application scenarios. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The authors are suggested to update the abstract and include the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Principal Objective Methods used Principal results Main conclusions What are the practical and managerial implications of what you are proposing? This should be a separate strong section. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The research topic as well as the content of your paper is good however it needs an organization and a clarity of presentation. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Brahmi Menaouer
Department, University & Country	National Polytechnic School of Oran, Algeria