

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_94131
Title of the Manuscript:	Nanoparticles Enhanced Photodynamic Therapy Used in the treatment of Periodontitis.
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The content of the paper must match the scope of the journal</li> <li>• The quality of the paper (method and execution of the research, as well as the writing) must be sufficiently high</li> </ul> <p>The literature review should give an overview of the available literature which frames or surrounds the problem being researched. It should look at the similarities and differences between the literature, as well as the strengths and limitations. It should illustrate how the current study fits into the existing framework of research or how it fills a gap in the literature. Ask</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The purpose of an abstract is to provide a succinct summary of the contents of the article and is usually 50250 words in length, depending on journal requirements. It should contain enough information to enable a reader to decide whether the article is of interest to them or not, so must be informative</p> <p>THE DISCUSSION In this section, the implications of the research results are evaluated and interpreted in relation to the research question. This is where the findings and the selected theoretical framework come together. The discussion should contain a clear statement of support or otherwise of the original hypothesis or research question. The results of this study and those of other studies should be discussed, and any suggestions for improvements or further research are made here.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>CONCLUSION This section should summarise the main points and indicate the usefulness of the research. The introduction should orientate the reader to the study by: • giving a firm sense of what was done in the study • introducing the question/problem • developing the background of the study • stating the purpose and rationale of the research</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abu-Hussein Muhamad
Department, University & Country	University of Athens, Greece