

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_93672
Title of the Manuscript:	High-degree atrioventricular block in young subjects induced by vagal hyperactivity (About 4 cases) and literature review.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Term "High degree AV block" is usually reserved for The blocks where 2 more than 2 consecutive P waves are dropped. 2. Mobitz type I and Type II blocks have not been mentioned distinctly wherever applicable. 3. In Figure 2 ECG, there is no rhythm lead. 4. Figure 2b is not Mobitz type II block but a variant of Wenckebach phenomenon. The PR interval of first beat after the dropped P is much shorter than the next beat. 5. There should be greater details of the ANS tests done to confirm vagal hyperactivity, especially with details of positive test results. 6. The cause of syncope in these individuals seems to be vasodilatation rather than bradyarrhythmias. The treatment also is addressed towards vasoconstriction/ increasing circulatory volume rather than improving AV conduction. Therefore complete re-writing of article is recommended. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sukhvinder Singh
Department, University & Country	Max Hospital, India