

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_93280
Title of the Manuscript:	A coronary artery aneurysm with a large subepicardial ischemia in a patient undergoing a valvular heart surgery : Should a coronary artery bypass be combined or not? A Case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Parts of the case report are unclear: 1. The patient was admitted with a chief complaint of dyspnea – where? The emergency department? The cardiology clinic? Somewhere else? 2. Who performed the transthoracic echocardiography? What happened between obtaining the results of the echo and the coronary angiography? Was the patient admitted the same day and scheduled for mitral valve surgery? What kind of mitral valve surgery was the patient scheduled for? Were there any laboratory tests done on admission – troponins, electrolytes etc. What were the laboratory test results? 3. The multidisciplinary team decided for medical management – what kind of medical management? Which drugs and in which doses?	
Minor REVISION comments	There are a lot of spelling and grammar errors spread out throughout the whole manuscript. The authors should hire a proofreader to correct all the minor spelling and grammar errors in order to make reading the manuscript easier.	
Optional/General comments	major revision is necessary – mostly adding the information missing and expanding the report to include a more detailed series of events and the diagnostic and treatment process without skipping over parts of the case or omitting information such as the specifics of the patient's medication therapy prescribed by the multidisciplinary team	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ingrid Prkačin
Department, University & Country	Clinical Hospital Merkur, Croatia