

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJCRS_93844 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | DIAGNOSTIC PITFALLS OF SPIGELIAN HERNIA – CASE REPORT |
| Type of the Article | Case report |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>Thank you for the opportunity to read and review your study.</p> <p>we fully appreciate the effort made by colleagues to accomplish this report. The topic itself is of great importance, well written and easy to follow. Having professed my general enthusiasm for the topic and its importance, I have some concerns that I feel are tractable but require substantive effort. I will discuss my main comments and suggestions, which hopefully can help the author/s improve this report. My comments here (highlighted with the yellow icons in the main manuscript attached) are concerned solely with the minor re organization of the manuscript. Consideration of these points will, I believe, lead to an improved report that better illustrates the key concepts and conclusions. Accordingly, I recommend the manuscript for publication after some adjustments have been taken into account.</p> <p>The introduction is relevant and theory based. Sufficient information about the previous study findings is presented for readers to follow the present study rationale and procedures. However, to make the motivation clearer and to differentiate the paper some more from other applied papers, the author may wish to provide another and several references to substantiate the claim made in a discussion part.</p> <p>the manuscript needs to be edited for grammar and syntax.</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Mohammed Joudi Aboud |
| Department, University & Country | The Maternity and Child Teaching Hospital, Iraq |