

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_93345
Title of the Manuscript:	Tumor recurrence of breast cancer associated with pulmonary thromboembolism and septic shock: case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In introduction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In the 4th paragraph: write “when the ventilatory pattern worsened, he was returned to the ICU” instead of “if the ventilatory pattern worsened, a return to the ICU was planned.” • To make this case study more valuable, add images of the diagnostic tool you used to rich your case and make it educational. - In the Discussion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “In Brazil, more than 65,000 cases were estimated for the year 2020, which represents about 30% of neoplasms diagnosed in Brazilian women. (4)”- This is mentioned before in the introduction with few changes, and its reference (ref no 4) was in 2019, but the number of cases was in 2021; how come it? • Where is reference no 3? It is not in the introduction and not in the discussion! • It is not “Lower Limb Doppler Echo”; it is “Lower Limb Doppler study.” 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the abstract: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe your case in more detail. • Write case presentation, not “Presentation of Case.” - In introduction: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where does the reference in the 1st paragraph divide the grease cancer into three subgroups? - Presentation of case: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better to say “Case Presentation.” • What is the meaning of vesicular murmur? • How was the BP 160/110 in case of septic shock with the installation of norepinephrine? - should be more precise. • Where is the lab results to confirm septic shock or septicemia? - In the Discussion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Where are the images from the diagnostic tools? - Why not discuss the septic shock that happened to your patient, as it is the cause of death in this case scenario and is also mentioned in the title? - Discuss the role of a multidisciplinary approach in this case with multiple comorbidities. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the article: A few dramatic mistakes should be corrected. - Presentation of case: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All sentences should be in the past. • “with a poor prognosis” was repeated; better to remove one. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abeer M Shawky
Department, University & Country	Al-Azhar University, Egypt