

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_94006
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ALS-IEC THEORY AND ITS EFFECT ON PERSONS LIVING WITH HUMAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A commendable paper however the following needs clarification:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why were only Christian males included in the study. Was it the dominant religion? 2. Was this theory used / validated anywhere before? 3. Was only one round of counselling done in column X? Were Y1, Y2 etc after only that single round of counselling? Would repeated sessions of counselling could have improved the post test scores? 4. The qualitative and quantitative discussion should be separately elaborated. 5. The statistical tests used could be elaborated as well as any softwares if used should be mentioned in a separate column. 6. How were the data interpreted in the qualitative study? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Kindly write full form of R A 8504 2. Adding the questionnaire at least in the annexures would be good 3. Capital letters in the middle of sentence could be avoided 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amrita Datta
Department, University & Country	University or Institution of Reviewer AIIMS, India