

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_91905
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Maize Genotypes for Seed Metrics, Agronomic Performance, Yield and Nutritional Content in Southern Savanna Agro-ecological Zone of Nigeria (Wukari as Case Study).
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>IN ABSRACT add scientific name of maize</p> <p>Remove this sentence:: Obtained data were subjected to statistical analysis Rewrite keyword : replace maize genotypes by, Zea mays L, genotypes,</p> <p>Please, what is the conclusion of your work????? ADD IT IN ABSTRACT</p> <p>IN INTRODUCTION;</p> <p>Zea mays in italic</p> <p>Please, mix these two objectives in general objective</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To assess the agronomic traits and compare the yield performance of selected maize varieties. • Evaluation of the nutritional contents of the selected maize varieties cultivated in Wukari <p>IN METHODOLOGY , THE LABORATORY ANALYSIS IS NOT CLEAR , can you explain or summarize clearly the methodology ?? Is it moisture content, fat and oil.....???????????</p> <p>REFERNCES ACCORDIND TO GUIDE OF AUTHOR</p>	
Optional/General comments	THE MANUSCRIPT IS WELL WRITTEN...AND THIS WORK IS VERY INTERESTING	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ngoh Dooh Jules Patrice
Department, University & Country	University of Maroua, Cameroon