

Construction of scale to measure the attitude of veterinarians towards antimicrobial resistance and stewardship

ABSTRACT

Antimicrobial resistance has become one of the major health problems in human and animals. This threat is increasing day by day and is fueled by a range of factors. Indiscriminate use of antibiotics in animals is one of the greatest source for provoking the global threat. In developing countries, veterinarians are the main driving forces towards use of antibiotics appropriately in the field conditions. Presently there is no provision to monitor the type and quantum of antibiotics prescribed by veterinarians. Here their attitude plays an important role to combat on antimicrobial resistance by promoting judicious use of antibiotics in animals. To know the attitude of veterinarians in Kerala, an effort was made to develop a scale using equal appearing interval method to measure their attitude towards antimicrobial resistance and stewardship. Final scale consisted 15 statements comprising 7 favourable and 8 unfavourable statements to measure attitude of veterinarians towards antimicrobial resistance and stewardship.

Key words: Veterinarian's attitude measurement, scale construction, Equal Appearing Interval (EAI) method.

1. INTRODUCTION

Thurstone (1946) defined attitude as the degree of positive or negative affect associated with some psychological object such as a symbol, person, institute, ideal or idea towards which people can differ in varying degrees. Attitude is an organized predisposition to think, feel, perceive and behave towards a cognitive object.

The psychological object for the present study was conceptualized as antimicrobial resistance and stewardship. Attitude in this study was operationally defined as the veterinarian's degree of favorable or unfavorable views, opinions, feelings or interests towards antimicrobial resistance and stewardship.

The attitude of veterinarians towards antimicrobial resistance and stewardship was assessed using an attitude scale which constructed using the equal appearing interval method developed by Thurstone and Chave (1929). The following points were considered for scale development.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Generation of statements

A universe of 60 statements were prepared such that they reflected the attitude of veterinarians towards antimicrobial resistance and stewardship. For this, an extensive review of literature, the themes of focus group discussions and discussion with subject experts were resorted to. These statements were edited as per the 14 criteria suggested by Edwards and Kilpatrick (1948). After editing, 42 statements were selected and these formed the universe of content. Care was taken to ensure that the statements were non-ambiguous and were not factual in nature.

2.2 Ratings of attitude statements by judges

The 42 statements so selected were then administered on an equally spaced 7 point psychological continuum to 100 judges and they were requested to give their ratings with respect to each statements based on a 7 point continuum from the 'least favorable' to 'most favorable' with 'neutral' in center. The judges comprised of faculty members working in the Departments of Veterinary Extension Education in various veterinary universities in India. The responses of all 60 judges were used for the final selection of statements and construction of the attitude scale. The scale value (S) and inter quartile range value (Q) for each statement were then calculated.

2.3 Computation of scale value (S)

The median is the value above and below which 50 per cent of the ratings fall. The median is the 50th percentile. The first quartile Q1 is the value below which 25 per cent of the cases fall, in other words the 25th percentile. The third quartile Q3 is the 75th percentile. The inter quartile range is the difference between third and first quartile, or Q3 - Q1.

The median of the distribution of judgments for each statement was taken as the scale value of the statement. The scale value was calculated from the data by means of the formula given by Edwards (1969).

$$S = l + \frac{(0.50 - \sum pb)}{pw} \times i$$

Where,

S = the median value or scale value of the statement

l = the lower limit of the interval or sorting category in which the median falls

$\sum pb$ = the sum of the proportions below the sorting category in which the median falls

pw = the proportion within the interval or sorting category in which the median falls

i = the width of the interval or sorting category and is assumed to be 1

2.4 Computation of interquartile values (Q)

Computation of the interquartile range Q, an index of dispersion of the statements on the scale (Edwards, 1969) was as follows. To determine the Q value it was necessary to find out two other points of measure, the 75th centile value (C_{75}) and the 25th centile value (C_{25}). These two values were calculated by the following formulae.

$$75^{\text{th}} \text{ centile value } C_{75} = l + \frac{(0.75 - \sum pb)}{pw} \times i$$

Where,

C_{75} = the 75th centile value

l = the lower limit of the interval or sorting category in which the 75th centile falls

$\sum pb$ = the sum of the proportion below the sorting category in which the 75th centile value falls

pw = the proportion within the interval or sorting category in which the 75th centile value falls

i = the width of the interval or sorting category and is assumed to be 1.0.

$$25^{\text{th}} \text{ centile value } c_{25} = l + \frac{(0.25 - \sum pb)}{pw} \times i$$

Where,

c_{25} = the 25th centile value

l = the lower limit of the interval or sorting category in which the 25th centile value fell $\sum pb$ = the sum of the proportions below the sorting category in which the 25th centile value fell

pw = the proportion within the sorting category in which the 25th centile value fell

i = the width of the interval or sorting category and is assumed to be 1.0.

The Interquartile range, denoted by the Q value was calculated by deducting the 25th centile (C_{25}) value from 75th centile (C_{75}) value.

$$Q \text{ value} = 75^{\text{th}} \text{ centile value } (C_{75}) - 25^{\text{th}} \text{ centile value } (C_{25})$$

In situations of agreement among the subjects in judging the degree of favourableness of a statement, the Q value would be small. A large Q value would indicate disagreement among the judges about the degree of the attribute possessed by a statement and hence can therefore be taken as an indication that there was something wrong with the statement. Thrustone and Chave (1929) observed that large Q values primarily indicated that the statement was ambiguous or that the statement was interpreted in more than one way by the subjects.

The interquartile range set the indication for selection of statement. Statements with larger Q-values were eliminated from the final list of statements.

2.5 Selection of attitude statements for inclusion in final scale

The attitude items to be included in the final attitude scale were selected based on the distribution of scale values uniformly along the psychological continuum with high scale values and smaller Q values. Based on this criterion, 15 statements were finally selected for attitude scale.

Table 1. Statements selected for the attitude scale with high S and smaller Q values

Sl.no.	Statements	Scale (S) value	Q value
1	I wait for the results of laboratory diagnostic tests before prescribing antimicrobials.	6.57	1.45
2	Certain priority antibiotics must be restricted for use in human medicine only.	6.56	1.53
3	As a veterinarian animal health rather than human health is my concern. *	6.43	1.73
4	I prescribe third and fourth generation antimicrobials as a last resort in my treatment protocols.	6.35	1.89
5	All Veterinarians must be aware of the principles of antimicrobial stewardship.	6.32	1.78
6	I advise progressive farmers to resort to the use of antimicrobials in their animals to save time. *	6.19	1.60
7	There is no harm in stopping antibiotic therapy midway if the animal shows early response. *	6.19	1.65
8	Adoption of stewardship practices in veterinary profession is waste of time. *	6.17	1.28
9	Antibiotics are very good growth promoters and can be encouraged	6.15	1.82

	for better productivity.*		
10	Antimicrobial resistant is not an issue as new drugs are being discovered and are available in the market to overcome it. *	6.11	1.15
11	There is nothing wrong in dispensing antibiotics without a prescription from a veterinarian. *	6.08	1.61
12	Veterinarians should focus on treatment with antibiotics rather than its consequences on society. *	6.08	1.68
13	The veterinarians must consider the use of antimicrobials as a last resort.	6.06	1.16
14	Veterinarians have a significant role to play in preventing public health threats due to antimicrobial resistance.	6.05	1.53
15	For every single case, I deliberately choose appropriate antimicrobial after considering the merit of the case.	6.00	1.89

* Unfavourable attitude statements

2.6 Standardisation of the scale

2.6.1 Reliability of the scale

According to Kerlinger (1964) reliability is the accuracy or precision of a measuring instrument. The reliability of the test was determined by the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of reliability test. The test was administered to 40 non-sample veterinarians who were selected randomly from Kannur (20) and Kozhikode (20) districts of Kerala. They were asked to give their responses to the 15 attitude scale statements on a continuum of 'strongly agree' to 'strongly disagree'. The collected data were tabulated and analysed to estimate the alpha value. The formula for calculating the alpha value was as follows

$$\alpha = \frac{K}{K-1} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^K \sigma^2 y_i}{\sigma^2 x} \right)$$

Where,

α = Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient

K = Number of items

$\sigma^2 y_i$ = the variance of item i for the current sample of persons

$\sigma^2 x$ = the variance of the observed total test scores

SPSS version 26 was used to calculate the alpha value.

The Cronbach's alpha was found to be 0.871, which indicated a strong internal consistency among the 15 items.

Reliability statistics	
Cronbach's alpha	No. of items
0.871	15

In Table 2, the column containing the 'corrected item-total correlation' indicated that, there was an average and positive correlation between the scores on the one item and the combined score of the remaining items except for item 1 and item 4 i.e., $r = 0.276$ and $r = 0.221$ respectively. However, item1 and item 4 had a weak correlation with the combine score of the remaining items, the alpha did not increase to a large degree by deleting either of these items (i.e. $\alpha = 0.873$ and $\alpha = 0.877$ respectively). Therefore, it was concluded that, there was no need to eliminate these two items from the total set of 15 items of the attitude scale to be used for further data collection from the actual respondents of the study area.

Table 2. Cronbach's alpha test results for internal consistency of attitude scale

Items	Scale mean if item deleted	Scale variance if item deleted	Corrected item-total correlation	Cronbach's alpha if item deleted
Item 1	79.1000	203.221	0.276	0.873
Item 2	79.2000	194.164	0.512	0.864
Item 3	79.6250	182.856	0.610	0.859
Item 4	79.3750	201.522	0.221	0.877
Item 5	79.8500	170.951	0.784	0.849
Item 6	79.7000	177.754	0.668	0.856
Item 7	79.6750	177.046	0.691	0.854
Item 8	79.0250	213.769	0.424	0.880
Item 9	79.7500	196.397	0.416	0.873
Item 10	79.4500	185.433	0.637	0.858
Item 11	79.8000	178.933	0.661	0.856
Item 12	79.6750	187.251	0.472	0.866
Item 13	79.4500	185.433	0.637	0.858
Item 14	79.8000	178.933	0.661	0.856
Item 15	79.6750	187.251	0.472	0.866

The developed attitude scale had a Cronbach's alpha (α) value that was more than 0.80 which was good and indicated a strong internal consistency among the set of items (George and Mallery, 2003). Thus, it was concluded that items used in test for data collection were appropriate and reliable.

2.6.2 Content validity of the scale

It referred to the representativeness or sampling adequacy of the content of a measuring instrument (Kerlinger, 1973). Content validity was ensured by subjecting the selected 15 items to 40 judges to obtain opinion. The judges comprised of faculty members working in the Departments of Veterinary Extension Education in the veterinary universities in Kerala and Tamil Nadu. Judges were asked to indicate the extent to which each attitude item covered the domains of the psychological object 'antimicrobial resistance and stewardship' or to exercise their judgment as to the relevance of the property being measured. The responses were obtained on a four point continuum for each item viz., 'most adequately covers', 'more adequately covers', 'less adequately covers' and 'least adequately covers'. Scores of 4, 3, 2 and 1 were assigned for the points on the continuum respectively. A total of 30 judges responded by sending their judgments. Mean scores of each item were calculated. The mean score of 2.5 was fixed as the basis for deciding the content validity of the scale. If the overall mean score of the attitude items as rated by the judges was above 2.5, the scale item would be selected and if not otherwise (Harisha *et al.*, 2020). In the present case, the overall mean score was worked out as 3.22 and therefore the content validity of the constructed attitude scale was ensured.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Out of the fifteen selected statements, 7 statements were the indicators of favorable attitude and 8 statements were the indicators of an unfavorable attitude. For practical use, these fifteen attitude statements should be arranged randomly in order to avoid biased responses. The final scale can be administered to the respondents of the study and the responses to each statement can be obtained on a five point continuum viz., strongly agree, agree, undecided, disagree and strongly disagree with weightages of 5,4,3,2 and 1 respectively for favourable statements and reverse scoring

for unfavourable statements. The weight of the selected category should be multiplied by the scale value of the particular statement to get the final score for each item. The attitude score of each respondent can be calculated by summing up the scores obtained for all the statements. Based on the total scores obtained, the respondents can be categorized as those with as less favorable, moderately favorable and highly favorable attitude.

The scale so developed, could be of valuable use to various agencies and practitioners interested in measures to mitigate antimicrobial resistance in various parts of the world. The scale that has been developed in this paper assumes significance in the aforementioned context. A similar scale to assess attitude was constructed by Iqshanullah *et al*, (2019) who developed a scale to assess the attitude of rural women towards social change.

4. CONCLUSION

The present study explores the development of a psychometric tool to assess the attitude of veterinarians about antimicrobial resistance and stewardship. In this study, the universe of statements were derived from extensive review of literature, codes and themes obtained from thematic analysis of focus group discussions and the validity of the statements was ensured through the judges rating while reliability and internal consistency was ensured with Cronbach alpha. The reliability and validity of the scale indicated the precision and consistency of the results.

REFERENCES

- Edwards, A. L. and Kilpatrick, F. P. 1948. A technique for construction of attitude scales. *J.Appl.Psychol.***32**: 374-384.
- Edwards, A. L. 1969. *Techniques of Attitude Scale Construction*. Vakils, Feffer and Simons Private Ltd, Mumbai, 13p.
- George, D. and Mallery, P. 2003. *SPSS for Windows Step by Step: A Simple Guide and Reference*. 11.0 update (4th Ed). Allyn & Bacon, Boston, 231p.
- Harisha, N., B. Mukunda Rao, T. Gopi Krishna, M. Uma Devy and Nafeez Umar, S. K. 2020. Scale construction for measuring the attitude of sericulture beneficiary farmers towards the activities of technical service centres. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.* **9**: 2778- 2787.
- Iqshanullah, A.M., Selvin, R and Kumar, M. 2019. The Development and Standardization of Attitude Scale towards Social Change. *Int. J. of Edu. Sci. and Res*, 9: 25-30.
- Kerlinger, F. N. 1964. *Foundations of Behavioural Research*. Holt Rinehart and Winston Inc., New York, 379p.
- Kerlinger, F. N. 1973. *Foundations of Behavioural Research*. (2nd Ed.). Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 300p.
- Thurstone, L. L. and Chave, E. J. 1929. The measurement of attitude: *A Psychological Method and Some Experiment with a Scale for Measuring Attitudes towards the Church*. Chicago University of Chicago Press, 22-35pp.
- Thurstone, L. L. 1946. The measurement of attitude. *Am. J. Sociol.* **8**: 39-50.