

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_93826
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of technical, financial and marketing needs of agripreneurs in Karnataka
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	[1] This is an interesting study on agripreneurs needs in order to enhance the economic level. [2] The article is well-written, organised, and of high quality. [3] The position mapping of each location (distribution) where the sample is obtained is advised to be done by the author.	
Minor REVISION comments	[1] Please provide the descriptive results of the demographic data. [2] When it comes to discussions of the brain, the experiment is interesting. [3] The author may carry out additional research on the same subject but with a new focus especially young agripreneurs [4] Consideration of these points will, I believe, lead to an improved report that better illustrates the key concepts and conclusions. [5] It is advised that the author extend the literature review with more paragraphs.	
Optional/General comments	I would be happy to recommend the manuscript for Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology .	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eleanor Daniella Binti Lokman
Department, University & Country	Government Administration Centre, Malaysia