

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_93265
Title of the Manuscript:	The Peasant Agroalimentary Territories – Echoing Post-development?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	"To the extent that post-development comprises a set of social, economic, political, and ethical proposals to confront the development paradigm and its production and social reproduction of human societies, a comparison between these proposals and practical experiences in the TCA is offered below to establish how close they are."- How? Explain and Justify.	
Minor REVISION comments	In CONCLUSIONS part researcher wrote:- "It is also important to highlight that this process has matured amid an adverse Colombian rural setting, with many difficulties and with few elements in favor; amongst the more serious issues we can mention the constant harassment of different illegal armed groups or corporate legal ones seeking to occupy their territories and obtain their resources ¹ ; besides this, there are other forms of daily violence, such as lack of food security, lack of job opportunities, and poor living conditions."-Rewrite and Justify this paragraph. Try to use 3 rd person singular number in this journal paper.	
Optional/General comments	-Please write a separate paragraph about all findings of this paper. It will help to create a good understanding of overall ideas for academic researchers and concern people.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Albina Amin
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh University of Professionals, Bangladesh