

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_93906
Title of the Manuscript:	Maintenance-State Assessment Model for Building Maintenance Forecasting
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1) Not the appropriate reference for Raess et al 2005 please fix the error of "Raess, M., & Gwinner, E. (2005). Gonadal status upon spring arrival in long-distance and short-distance migrating stonechats (<i>Saxicola torquata</i>). <i>Journal of Ornithology</i>, 146(4), 325-331."</p> <p>2) Reference called Hendriks et al 2004 but not displayed in the reference section</p> <p>3) Reference called Kirkham et al., 2004 but not displayed in the reference section</p> <p>4) Reference Yiu (2006) should be fixed and replaced by Liu (2006)</p> <p>5) Reference to remove, not used and not in relation to the subject</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Des Jarlais, D., Uuskula, A., Talu, A., Barnes, D. M., Raag, M., Arasteh, K., & Tross, S. (2019). Implementing an updated "Break the Cycle" intervention to reduce initiating persons into injecting drug use in an Eastern European and a US "opioid epidemic" setting. <i>AIDS and Behavior</i>, 23(9), 2304-2314.</p> <p>6) Reference to remove because it is a repetition of the previous one Kirkham, R. J. and Boussabaine, R. J., 'Forecasting the residual service life of NHS hospital buildings: a stochastic approach', <i>Construction Management and Economics</i>, 23(5), 2005, pp 521-529.</p> <p>7) Section 3.1.1 fix the acronym in the sentence "[..]the building maintenance risk score (BRMS)" by "[..]the building maintenance risk score (BMRS)"</p> <p>Section 3.1.2 fix the sentence "Therefore, building should have a database where information about the management of the building will be storeds."</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1) Reference used in section 2.1 "Wikipedia, 2022" must be detailed</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>1) Section 3.2 Discussion can be extended with the benefit of the multi linear regression and renamed by Discussion & Conclusion</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jean-François Marc Dorville
Department, University & Country	UWI MONA, France