

Original Research Article

Effect of coconut shell biochar on physical, chemical properties and available major nutrient status of acidic soil

ABSTRACT:

The effect of coconut shell biochar addition on the physical and chemical properties of acidic soil such as soil bulk density, maximum water holding capacity, pH, electrical conductivity (EC), available major nutrients were investigated in a field experiment with soybean. This study was conducted by application of coconut shell biochar in combination with recommended Lime. The coconut shell biochar was applied at three rates (5, 7.5 and 10 t ha⁻¹) and lime (calcium carbonate) was applied at two rates (100% and 50% recommendation) to acidic soil. Amendment type, application rate, and their interaction had significant effects ($p < 0.05$) on soil bulk density, maximum water holding capacity, pH, EC, and available major nutrients after the harvest of soybean. Application of coconut shell biochar at 10 t ha⁻¹ in combination with 50% recommended lime had shown a relatively higher improvement in soil physical and chemical properties after the harvest of soybean.

KEY WORDS: coconut shell biochar, soybean, soil physical and chemical properties, acidic soils

1. INTRODUCTION:

Hydrogen (H⁺) and aluminium (Al³⁺) ion dominance in the soil exchangeable complex causes acidity which limits crop yield and utilization of many essential nutrients by plants (Chintala *et al.* 2014). Liming to remediate acidic soils has a longer history than the use of any other forms of soil amendments. Liming has shown the synergistic interaction with applied nutrients (through fertilizers) and increased the nutrient uptake by plants (Chintala *et al.* 2014). Liming results in changes in the physical and chemical properties of soil that improve conditions for plant growth. There has been increased interest on alternative liming agents with multiple benefits such as pyrolytic biochars which can be used to improve soil physical, chemical and biological properties and to store carbon (C) in the soil (Steiner *et al.* 2008; Yuan & Xu 2011).

The thermal conversion of biomass (pyrolysis) in a low or no oxygen environment produces high carbonaceous biochar material or charcoal with unique characteristics (Gaskin *et al.* 2008). Biochars are highly recalcitrant with carbon sequestration benefit (Lehmann *et al.* 2003) and can influence soil pH (Abewa *et al.* 2014). It was observed that application of biochars to acidic soil increases its sorption capacity for nutrients (Sohi *et al.* 2010) and reduces the exchangeable acidity (Van Zwieten *et al.* 2010). Higher pyrolytic temperature (>400°C) was observed to produce biochars with alkaline pH (Novak *et al.* 2009). Several studies have already observed the beneficial effects of biochar on soil quality and fertility parameters. Before applying these biochars to acidic soils as amendment, it will be necessary to analyse their composition and liming potential. The physical and chemical characteristics of any amendment determine its effectiveness as soil conditioner. The ameliorating ability of biochars can be varied due to differences in their physical and chemical properties. These biochar properties are influenced by pyrolytic parameters and feedstock type (Joseph *et al.* 2010). The objective of this study was to determine the coconut shell biochar induced changes on selected physical and chemical properties of acidic soil such as bulk density (BD), maximum water holding capacity, soil pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon, available major and micro nutrients status of soil after the harvest of soybean.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS:

A Field experiment was conducted in AICRP on sunflower unit, ZARS, UAS, GKVK Bangalore (13°04'37.7"N 77°03'04.2"E) during Kharif 2019 with a test crop soybean. The recommended dose of fertilizer (25:62.5:25 N, P₂O₅ and K₂O) applied as basal dose with recommended spacing of 30*10 cm. Randomized complete block design was used with nine treatment and 3 replication. Table 1 provides the initial physical and chemical properties of soil from experimental area. There are various grades of biochar available and the locally produced coconut shell biochar has been used in the present investigation. The coconut shell biochar was developed at a comparatively higher temperature (around 600 °C) in limited oxygen supply and it was purchased for the cost of Rs. 2 per kg from company Kalpatharu products, Tiptur, Tumkur district, Karnataka. The physical and chemical properties of coconut shell biochar is given in Table 1 and the Following are the treatment combinations used in the present study:

Formatted: Highlight

Bulk density (Mg m^{-3})	1.39	0.73
Maximum water holding capacity (%)	34.13	68.54
Soil pH	5.16	9.6
Electrical conductivity (d S m^{-1})	0.097	1.78
Organic carbon	0.51 %	77.50 %
Nitrogen	$262.71 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$	0.27%
Phosphorus	26.82 kg ha^{-1}	0.15%
Potassium	136.4 kg ha^{-1}	0.84%
Exchangeable Calcium	$2.16 \text{ c mol (p+) kg}^{-1}$	0.22%
Exchangeable Magnesium	$1.35 \text{ c mol (p+) kg}^{-1}$	0.13%
Sulphur	9.30 mg kg^{-1}	0.02%
Iron (mg kg^{-1})	11.12	423.06
Zinc (mg kg^{-1})	2.02	25.80
Manganese (mg kg^{-1})	6.01	273.26
Copper (mg kg^{-1})	0.55	31.20

2.2 Collection of soil samples and Methodology for soil analysis

Soil samples at a plough layer depth (0-15 cm depth) were obtained from each of the experimental site's twenty-seven plots after the crop's harvest. The samples obtained were dried in shade, rendered with a pestle and motor to ground, passed through 2 mm sieve, and placed in polythene bags. The soil samples that were initially obtained are examined for different physical and chemical characteristics using standard techniques after soybean harvest. Table 2 provides the standard methods used for the analysis of soil samples.

The physical properties like texture was determined by International Pipette method, bulk density and maximum water holding capacity were estimated by Keen Raczkowski Cup method (Piper, 1966). Soil pH and electrical conductivity measured by Potentiometry and Conductometry (Jackson, 1973), organic carbon by Wet oxidation (Walkley and Black, 1934). Nutrients like Nitrogen by Alkaline potassium permanganate (Subbiah and Asija, 1956), Phosphorus by Bray's extraction and Colorimetry, Potassium by Ammonium acetate extraction and Flame photometry.

2.3 Statistical analysis of data

The comparative study of experimentally collected results was carried out by implementing Fisher's system of measurement of variance as described by Gomez and Gomez (1984). The significance level used in the 'F' evaluation was offered at 5 ~~per cent~~. Critical difference (CD) values are presented at a significance level of 5% ~~per cent~~ in the table, wherever the 'F' measure was found to be relevant at 5% ~~per cent~~.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

3.1 Bulk Density (Mg m^{-3}) and Maximum water holding capacity (%) of soil after the harvest of soybean

Table 2 presents the data pertaining to the effect of biochar application on soil bulk density and maximum water holding capacity. Significantly lower BD (1.27 Mg m^{-3}) and higher water holding capacity (42.02% ~~per cent~~) was observed in T9 (Recommended NPK + biochar @ 10 t ha^{-1} + 50% Lime Recommendation), followed by T5 (bulk density of 1.28 Mg m^{-3} and water holding capacity of 41.94% ~~per cent~~) receiving Recommended NPK + biochar @ 10 t ha^{-1} . The higher value of bulk density (1.39 Mg m^{-3}) and lower values of the soil's water holding capacity (34.70%) were observed in the treatment T1 which was absolute control.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Biochar application (Table 2) has had a significant influence on the physical properties of soil viz., bulk density and maximum water holding capacity and recorded lower bulk density and higher maximum water holding capacity values over the rest of treatments. This may be attributed to the high carbon content in the biochar, which in the formation of stable soil aggregates serves as cementing materials. The porous structure of biochar has been documented to be able to affect its effect on soil, water holding capacity and adsorption efficiency (Yu *et al.*, 2006). Gundale and Deluca (2006) biochar has a large density that is much lower than that of mineral soils and therefore biochar application can reduce the overall density of the soil. The biochar application reduced the bulk density by 12 to 25% ~~per cent~~ and the water holding capacity was increased compared to zero application at all biochar application rates (Emmanuel *et al.*, 2010)

Formatted: Highlight

Table 2: Effect of coconut shell biochar application on Bulk Density (Mg m^{-3}) and Maximum Water Holding Capacity (%) of soil after the harvest of soybean

Treatments	Bulk Density	Maximum Water Holding Capacity
	Mg m^{-3}	%
T1	1.39	34.70
T2	1.37	35.90
T3	1.33	38.87
T4	1.30	40.31
T5	1.28	41.94
T6	1.36	36.11
T7	1.33	39.03
T8	1.29	40.34
T9	1.27	42.02
SEm \pm	0.04	0.89
CD @ 5%	0.13	2.68

Note: * ZnSO_4 @ 12.5 kg ha^{-1} common for all treatments except in absolute control

3.2 pH and Electrical Conductivity (dS m^{-1}) of soil after the harvest of soybean

The data in Table 3 showed that after harvesting the soybean, there was a substantial difference in soil pH and EC condition. pH and EC of the soil increased with increasing rate of biochar and combination with lime has slight increase than biochar alone. Significantly higher pH (6.27) and EC (0.20 dS m^{-1}) was reported in treatment receiving Recommended NPK + Biochar @ 10 t ha^{-1} + 50% Lime Recommendation (T9) and was on par with T8 (pH 6.18 and EC 0.19 dS m^{-1}) receiving Recommended NPK + Biochar @ 7.5 t ha^{-1} + 50% Lime Recommendation when compared to treatment T2 which received package of practice (Recommended NPK + FYM).

The rise in pH of the treatments over control may be attributed to high surface area and biochar porous nature which increases the soil's cation exchange capacity and the availability of easily exchangeable bases. The basic cations in biochar can be exchanged on soil exchange complex with the exchangeable Al^{3+} and H^+ and therefore decrease the exchangeable acidity in acidic and neutral soils. Such

observations are consistent with Chintala *et al.* (2014) and Anteneh *et al.* (2014) findings. EC's been increasing gradually with increasing rate of biochar to the soil. This may be due to the ameliorating effects of the biochar increased with increase in pyrolysis temperature, which is consistent with changes in EC of biochar. Increased rate of application of biochar increased soil EC. Increased rate of application increased the addition of basic cations and salt concentration and there by recorded higher EC in the present investigation. Significant increase in EC with varied levels of biochar application was often reported by Chintala *et al.*, (2014) and Chan *et al.*, (2007).

3.3 Soil organic carbon (%) of soil after the harvest of soybean

The organic carbon data in Table 3 indicated that there was a significant difference in soil organic carbon content among the various treatments after soybean harvest. Soil organic carbon content after soybean harvest varied from 0.52% to 0.58%. Numerically higher organic carbon value (0.58%) was recorded in T9 with Recommended NPK + Biochar @ 10 t ha⁻¹ + 50% Lime Recommendation followed by T5 (0.57%) receiving Recommended NPK + Biochar @ 10 t ha⁻¹. The higher organic carbon levels in biochar treated soils show the recalcitrant organic carbon in biochar which is immune to mineralization and further loses. The soil organic carbon stock named carbon sequestration will then be improved. Lehmann *et al.* (2003) and Solaiman *et al.* (2019) have also reported high organic carbon in soils where biochar is used. The special properties of stable C in biochar and high surface area, high charge per unit area, occurrence of specific functional surface groups and ash content have a beneficial impact on chemical properties of the soil. Application of biochar increase SOC, pH, EC, CEC and exchangeable bases in bio char applied soil. (Hass *et al.*, 2012 and; Anteneh *et al.*, 2014)

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

3.4 Available Major Nutrients (kg ha⁻¹) status of soil after the harvest of soybean

After the harvest of soybean field, the available major nutrients content in soil differed significantly due to different rates of biochar application (Table 3). Significantly higher available nitrogen (298.89 kg ha⁻¹), Phosphorus (31.86 kg ha⁻¹) and Potassium (153.21 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in T9 where Recommended NPK + Biochar @ 10 t ha⁻¹ + 50% Lime Recommendation was added compared to package

of practice T2 (284.46 kg ha⁻¹ Nitrogen, 27.71 kg ha⁻¹ Phosphorus and 148.64 kg ha⁻¹ Potassium). Nevertheless, T9 was comparable to T5 (295.67 kg ha⁻¹ Nitrogen, 31.29 kg ha⁻¹ Phosphorus and 152.96 kg ha⁻¹ Potassium) obtaining Recommended NPK + Biochar@10 t ha⁻¹ and with the increased levels of biochar the available major nutrients content improved.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

This might be due to incorporation of biochar in combination with agricultural lime has made nitrogen available to the soil. Lehmann *et al.* 2003 reported that biochar changes soil dynamics of N. The abundance and intensity of organic N mineralization contained in biochar added to soil offers an indicator of biochar's potential as a slow release of N fertilizer stated by Chan and Xu (2009) and Steiner *et al.* (2008). The high levels of available P found in the biochar. Van Zwieten *et al.* (2010) also showed an increase in the available phosphorus in soil after biochar application. The possible cause for improved abundance of P_2O_5 with biochar application in soil may be due to the existence of soluble and exchangeable phosphate in soil pH biochar multiplier and P complexing metals ameliorator (Al^{3+} , Fe^{3+}) driver of microbial development and hurrying P mineralization. Parvage *et al.* (2013) and Hass *et al.* (2012) also reported such an increase in the available content of P_2O_5 with biochar addition. The increased biochar rates in combination with Agricultural lime raised the potassium content in soil which may be attributed to the high K concentration present in the biochar (Chan *et al.* 2007). Increased potassium is primarily responsible for the immediate beneficial impact of biochar inputs on nutritional abundance (Lehmann *et al.* 2003). The biochar produces high ash which therefore has more potassium content relative to other main nutrients, so the potassium level improved substantially by adding ash rich biochar to soils.

Table 3: Effect of coconut shell biochar application on pH, Electrical Conductivity (dS m⁻¹), Organic carbon (%) and major nutrients status of soil after the harvest of soybean

Treatments	pH	Electrical Conductivity	Organic Carbon	Available Nitrogen	Available Phosphorus	Available Potassium
------------	----	-------------------------	----------------	--------------------	----------------------	---------------------

UNDER PEER REVIEW

		dS m⁻¹	%	kg ha⁻¹	kg ha⁻¹	kg ha⁻¹
T1	5.18	0.10	0.52	273.01	23.42	139.60
T2	5.20	0.12	0.53	284.46	27.71	148.64
T3	5.37	0.12	0.54	283.30	27.80	149.07
T4	5.54	0.16	0.55	291.21	28.15	151.60
T5	5.75	0.18	0.57	295.67	31.29	152.98
T6	6.02	0.12	0.54	285.60	28.65	149.87
T7	6.12	0.13	0.55	292.98	29.08	152.56
T8	6.18	0.19	0.56	293.82	29.79	151.39
T9	6.27	0.20	0.58	298.89	31.86	153.21
SEm ±	0.14	0.02	0.03	2.53	0.82	1.39
CD @ 5%	0.43	0.06	0.10	7.59	2.44	4.15

Note: *ZnSO₄ @12.5 kg ha⁻¹ common for all treatments except in absolute control

4. CONCLUSION

In this study, the biochar was a by-products of coconut shell using pyrolysis in an oxygen limited condition unlike previously published studies. The characteristics and reactivity of biochars with soil are highly heterogeneous with batches of production using similar feedstock and pyrolytic conditions and the findings of a study could not be applied universally to all biochar materials. In conclusion, this field study demonstrated the effectiveness of biochars in improving soil physical properties and ameliorating acidity with increased the soil pH, EC, and available major nutrients. Coconut shell biochar in combination with lime was found to have significantly better performance than coconut shell biochar alone application. The liming potential of biochar can be attributed to their alkalinity, proton consumption capacity/acid neutralization capacity, and base cation concentration. The incorporation of these highly carbonaceous biochar materials can induce ameliorating changes to chemical properties of acidic soils and improve the bioavailability of plant essential nutrients. Future research needs to evaluate the effect of biochars on acidic soils and their practical management (timing and application methods) and economic viability. This type of studies at different locations with different feedstocks and pyrolytic process will help to design biochar materials as organic amendments for farmers to reclaim acidic soils and improve soil physical, chemical and biological properties.

5. COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

6.

7. Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

8. REFERENCES:

- ABEWA, A., YITAFERU, B., SELASSIE, Y. G. AND AMARE., 2014, The role of biochar on acid soil reclamation and yield of Teff (*Eragrostis tef* [Zucc] Trotter) in North western Ethiopia. *J. Agric. Sci.*, **2**(1): 1-11
- ANTENEH, A., YITAFERU, B., YIHENEW, G. S. AND AMAR, T., 2014, The Role of Biochar on Acid Soil Reclamation and Yield of Teff (*Eragrostis tef* [Zucc] Trotter) in Northwestern Ethiopia. *Ethiopia J. Agric. Sci.*, **6**(1): 126-138.
- BLACK, C. A., 1965 Methods of soil analysis part-1 physical and mineralogical properties. Agronomy Monograph No.9. American Soc. Agron. Madison, Wisconsin, USA, **7**: 18-25.
- CHINTALA, R., MOLLINEDO, J., SCHUMACHER, T. E., MALO, D. D. AND JULSON, J.L., 2014, Effect of bio char on chemical properties of acidic soil. *Arch. Agron . Soil Sci.*, **60**(3): 393-404.
- CHAN, K. Y., VAN ZWIETEN, L., MESZAROS, I., DOWNIE, A. AND JOSEPH, S., 2007, Agronomic values of green waste biochar as a soil amendment. *J. Soil Res.*, **45**: 629-634.
- EMMANUEL, D., ANNE, V., STEVE, R. AND SARANA, S., 2010, Biochar from saw dust, maize stover and charcoal: Impact on water holding capacity (WHC) of three soil from Ghana. *Bio. Geo. Sci.*, **9**: 34-35
- GASKIN, J. W, STEINER, C., HARRIS, K., DAS, K. C AND BIBENS, B., 2008, Effect of low temperature pyrolysis conditions on biochar for agricultural use. *T. Asabe.*, **51**: 2061-2069.
- GUNDALE, M. J., AND DELUCA, T. H. 2006, Temperature and substrate influence the chemical properties of charcoal in the ponderosa pine /Douglas-fir ecosystem, *Forest Eco. Manage.*, **231**: 86-93.
- HASS, A., GONZALEZ, J. M., LIMA, I. M., GODWIN, H. W., HALVORSON, J. J. AND BOYER, D. G., 2012, Chicken manure biochar as liming and nutrient source for acid Appalachian soil. *J. Environ. Qual.*, **41**(4): 1096-1106.
- JACKSON, M.L., 1973, *Soil chemical analysis*. Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi, **1**: 485-486
- JIN, Y., LIANG, X., HE, M., LIU, Y., TIAN, G. and SHI, J., 2016. Manure biochar influence upon soil properties, phosphorus distribution and

phosphatase activities: a microcosm incubation study. *Chem. Sphere.*, **142**: 128-135.

- LEHMANN, J. D., SILVA J. R., J. P., STEINER, C., NEHLS, T., ZECH, W. AND GLASER, B., 2003, Nutrient availability and leaching in an archaeological Anthrosol and a Ferralsol of the Central Amazon basin: fertilizer, manure and charcoal amendments. *Pl. Soil.*, **249**: 343-357.
- NOVAK, J. M., BUSSCHER, W. J., LAIRD, D. L., AHMEDNA, M., WATTS, D. W. AND NIANDOU, M. A. S., 2009, Impact of biochar amendment on fertility of a southeastern coastal plain soil. *Soil Sci.*, **174**: 105-112.
- PARVAGE, M. M., BARBRO, U., ERIKSSON, J., JEFFERY, S. AND HOLGER, K., 2013, Phosphorus availability in soils amended with wheat residue char. *Biol. Fert. Soils*, **49**(2): 245-250.
- PIPER, C. S., 1966, *Soil and plant analysis*, Hans Pub: Bombay, **7**: 368-369.
- SOHI, S., SOLOMON, D., LIANG, B., LEHMANN, J., THIES, J. E., SKJEMSTAD, J. O., LUIZAD, F. J., ENGELHARDE, M. H., NEVESF, E. G. AND WIRICK, S. 2009, Stability of biomass derived black carbon in Soils. *Sci. Rep.*, **72**: 6069-6078.
- SOLAIMAN, Z. M., ABBOTT, L. K. AND MURPHY, D. V., 2019, Bio char phosphorus concentration dictates mycorrhizal colonisation, plant growth and soil phosphorus cycling. *Sci. Rep.*, **9**(1): 50-62.
- STEINER C, GLASER B, TEIXEIRA WG, LEHMANN J. AND BLUM WEH, ZECH W., 2008, Nitrogen retention and plant uptake on a highly weathered central Amazonian Ferralsol amended with compost and charcoal. *J. Pl. Nutr. Soil Sci.*, **171**(6): 893–899.
- SUBBAIAH, A. Y. AND ASIJA, G. K., 1956, A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Curr. Sci.*, **25**: 270-280.
- VAN ZWIETEN, L., KIMBER, S., DOWNIE, A., MORRI, S., PETTY, S., RUST, J. AND CHAN, K.Y., 2010, A glass house study on the interaction of low mineral ash biochar with nitrogen in a sandy soil. *Aust. J. Soil Res.*, **48**: 569-576.
- WALKY, A. J. AND BLACK, I. A., 1934, An examination of wet acid method for determining soil organic matter and proposed modification of chromic acid titration method. *J. Soil Sci.*, **37**: 29-38.

UNDER PEER REVIEW