

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_94893
Title of the Manuscript:	Participation of Women in the workforce: A Comparative study between Central and Western Plains of West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract writing needs to be adjusted to the style of the journal's environment. Data analysis techniques need to be written down. <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In the introduction it is necessary to write a gap analysis At the end of the introductory paragraph it is necessary to rewrite the research objectives, contribution of the research results, and the urgency of conducting the research. <p>Method</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data analysis techniques need to be written down. <p>Result & Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Table writing style needs to be adjusted to the journal template Supporting data needs to be added <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The conclusion is sufficient to answer the research objectives <p>References</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Out of date references need to be updated (maximum of the last 10 years) 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grammar, spelling, punctuation needs to be examined and corrected again, for example every sentence ends with a full stop. ... (Chakraborty & Chakraborty, 2009). 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamad Joko Susilo
Department, University & Country	Indonesia