

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_94202
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on Present Status of Rohingya People in Bangladesh: Effects on Social, Economic, Environment and Wildlife Habitat
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Above all, it is a nice interesting Article and publishable. Congrats to the Author of this Article !</p> <p>However these few Remarks should be observed for his or her correction, before the publication of this piece:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No pagination (page numbers omitted) of this Researched work seen! 2. Generally, I highlighted and correcte where there is some problems regarding particular paragraph or sentence. 3. Few structure of Tenses, english Syntacts cum Expressions should be looked into, and handful these I did and put in order. 4. Regarding References / Bibliography a systematic standardard Methology should be adopted and mentained instead of variant tpyes...a re-look of at this is necessary before the publiication of this Article. <p>I, therefore, tipp this Article worthy of publication, when the few observation are taking care of by the Author.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I should also add here, that the Author, be abreast with the use of Tenses and Structures and choice of Vocabulary Syntacs buildings being used.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This Research work is interesting and should be published after perusing into the few mistakes I pointed out.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joe-Barth Abba
Department, University & Country	University of Munich, Germany