

Original Research Article

ANTIBIOTIC SUSCEPTIBILITY PROFILE OF CLINICAL ISOLATE OF CARBAPENEM-RESISTANT *PSEUDOMONAS AERUGINOSA*

ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives

Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, are among the top tier of the list of antibiotic-resistant priority pathogens that pose the greatest threat to human health. In recent years, the rate of carbapenem resistance in *P. aeruginosa* has increased worldwide and has become of great concern since it significantly restricts the therapeutic options for patients. Therefore this study was undertaken to determine the antibiotic susceptibility profile of clinical isolate of Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Methodology

A total of five hundred (500) clinical samples were collected from patient's attending Alex Ekwueme Federal University Teaching Hospital Abakaliki, Ebonyi State (AFEUTHA). The collected samples were analyzed for the presence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* using standard microbiological techniques for isolation and characterization of bacteria. Further strain confirmation was performed using VITEK 2 System. Phenotypic detection of Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was performed using Modified Hodge testing. Antibiotic susceptibility was performed by employing Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method and the results were interpreted using the Clinical Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI) zone diameter breakpoints.

Result:

The occurrence rate of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in clinical sample accounted 119(23.8 %) consisting of high proportion from urine sample 81(27.4 %) followed by wound swabs 13(25.5 %), high vaginal swab 17(20.7) while the least occurrence rate was observed against catheter tips 5(12.8%) and sputum 3(9.4 %). Modified Hodge testing revealed 31(6.2 %) carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* comprising of high proportion 24(8.1 %) from urine sample followed by wound swab 5(9.8 %) while Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was absence in High Vaginal Swab recording 0(0.0 %). Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates were highly resistant to amoxicillin-clavulanic 100 %, colistin 100 %, tetracycline 100 %, nitrofurantoin 70.8 %, azetronam 87.5 % but were susceptible to Nalixidic acid 50.0 %, ofloxacin 75.0 %, and ciprofloxacin 100 %.

Conclusion:

As *in-vitro* susceptibility of carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* isolate to ofloxacin and ciprofloxacin is known, their judicious utilization will accelerate a significant improvement in the patient's condition. As such there is a substantial need of evaluation of wide spectrum and new therapies in different classes to counteract this imminent crisis of resistance among Carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa*.

Keyword: Carbapenem-resistant, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, susceptibility

1. INTRODUCTION

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a Gram-negative bacillus that is one of the leading causes of community-acquired infections in patients with chronic underlying diseases and hospital-acquired infections, such as pneumonia, urinary tract infections, and bloodstream infections (BSIs) [1, 2]. Recently, it has been described as a pathogen that co-infects patients with COVID-19 [3, 4]. The 2016 report by the European Center for Disease Control and Prevention (ECDC) on infections acquired in the ICU in 18 European countries showed that, in 2014, *P. aeruginosa* was the most common cause of ventilator-associated pneumonia and the fifth most prevalent in ICU-acquired BSI [5, 6]. *P. aeruginosa* infections are associated with elevated disease burden and mortality rates in the absence of optimal

treatment [6, 7]. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* accessory genome is often composed of genes involved in virulence to human hosts and antimicrobial resistance, resulting in a high risk of mortality and a high rate of multidrug resistance [8].

Although beta-lactams are one of the most commonly used antimicrobial drug classes for *P. aeruginosa* infection, antipseudomonal beta-lactam drugs are limited because of the species' intrinsic resistance due to the interplay of chromosomal beta-lactamases [2], a low outer membrane permeability (Yoon *et al.*, 2021), and the constitutive expression of efflux pump systems [2]. The beta-lactam regimens for *P. aeruginosa* infection include antipseudomonal penicillins in combination with a beta-lactamase inhibitor, i.e., piperacillin–tazobactam and ticarcillin–clavulanic acid; antipseudomonal cephalosporins alone or in combination with beta-lactamase inhibitors, i.e., ceftazidime (with avibactam), ceftolozane (with tazobactam), cefoperazone (with sulbactam), and cefepime [2, 9, 10]; and carbapenems, i.e., imipenem and meropenem. Among those, carbapenems are the preferred choice against multidrug-resistant *P. aeruginosa*. In recent years, the rate of carbapenem resistance in *P. aeruginosa* has increased worldwide and has become of great concern since it significantly restricts the therapeutic options for patients.

Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (CRPA), are among the top tier of the WHO list of antibiotic-resistant “priority pathogens” that pose the greatest threat to human health [11, 12]. Moreover, carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* (CRPA) frequently produced carbapenemase, with 62.7% of isolates having at least one carbapenemase gene [13]. Carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* has been implicated in various infections with high mortality rates has been reported in different region [14]. Generally, there are limited studies conducted on carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* in Africa. Therefore, the rapid and sensitive detection of susceptible profile of Carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* is required if appropriate therapy is to be administered.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Isolation, Purification and Characterization of Test Organism

Aseptically, a total of five hundred (500) clinical samples of human sputum (32), urine (296), high vaginal swab (82), wound swab (51) and catheter tip (39) were collected from Alex Ekwueme Federal University Teaching Hospital Abakaliki, Ebonyi State and transported to the using Amies transport medium (bioMérieux, France) to the microbiological research laboratory for bacteriological analysis. The collected samples were analyzed for the presence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by inoculating of each sample into a separate tube of sterile nutrient broth and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. After overnight incubation, a loopful of the turbid broth culture was aseptically seeded by streaking on sterile solidified, Cetrimide agar (bioMérieux, France) and was incubated at 37 °C for 24h. Suspected *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from positive cultures were identified by their characteristic appearance (color, consistency, shape) on the media. Each Greenish colonies were sub-cultured on sterilized solidified Nutrient agar (bioMérieux, France) and incubated at 37 °C for 24h for Gram staining reaction and biochemical testing profiles, using standard procedures [15]. Further strain confirmation was performed using VITEK 2 System (bioMerieux, France) [16].

2.2 Modified Hodge testing

The test was done using cabapenem class of antibiotics by preparing 0.5 Mcfarland dilution of the test organism in 5ml of sterile water. Thereafter a sterile swab stick was used to streak the organism on already prepared Muller Hinton agar plates and allowed to dry for 3-5minute. Imipenem (IPM 10 µg) and meropenem (MEM 10 µg) (Oxoid, Uk) susceptibility disk in the centre of the test area. In a straight line streak the test organism from the edge of the disk to the edge of the plate and incubate at 37°C for 24hrs based on CLSI breakpoints [17].

2.3 Antibiotic Sensitivity Testing

Antibiotic susceptibility was performed by employing Kirby Bauer disk diffusion method using sterilized Mueller-Hinton agar in accordance with the guidelines of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [17]. Carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* suspension of the test isolate was prepared using 0.5 McFarland standards and seeded on solidified Mueller–Hinton agar. The plates were allowed to pre-diffuse for 5 minute. Thereafter, the following antibiotic: amoxicillin-clavulanic acid, amoxicillin (30 µg), azetronam (30 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), ceftriaxone (30 µg), colistin (10 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), ofloxacin (5 µg), nalixidic acid (30 µg),

nitrofurantoin (100 µg), tetracycline (30 µg), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (25 µg), ticarcillin-clavulanic acid (85 µg) (Oxoid, UK) were impregnated on the inoculated Mueller-Hinton (bioMérieux, France) agar plates and incubated at 37 °C for 24 hours. After overnight, the diameters of zones of inhibition were measured, and results interpreted in accordance to susceptible and resistant [17, 18]

2.4 Determination of Multiple antibiotics resistance index (MARI)

Multiple antibiotic resistance index (MARI) was calculated as $MARI = a/b$, where “a” represents the number of antibiotics to which the isolates were resistant and “b” represents the total number of antibiotics to which the isolate was exposed to [16, 19].

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Distribution of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in clinical sample isolated from patients at Alex Ekwueme Federal University Hospital Teaching Hospital (AE-FUTHA)

Overall occurrence rate of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* accounted for 119(23.8%) consisting of high proportion from urine sample 81(27.4 %) followed by Wound swabs 13(25.5 %), HVS 17(20.7) while the least occurrence rate was observed against catheter tips 5(12.8%) and Sputum 3(9.4 %) as presented in Table 1.

3.2 Distribution of Carbapenemase Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in clinical sample isolated from patient at Alex Ekwueme Federal University Hospital Teaching Hospital (AE-FUTHA)

In Table 2, overall detection rate of Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was 31(6.2 %) comprising of high proportion 24(8.1 %) from Urine sample followed by Wound swab 5(9.8 %) while CR *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was absent in High Vaginal Swab recording 0(0.0 %). Carbapenem-Susceptible *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* accounted 88(17.6%).

3.3 Antibiotic susceptibility profile of carbapenem resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from urine samples were highly resistant to amoxicillin-clavulanic 100 %, colistin 100 %, tetracycline 100 %, nitrofurantoin 70.8 %, azetronam 87.5 % but were susceptible to ofloxacin 75.0 %, and ciprofloxacin 100 % as shown in Table 3. Antibiotic resistant profile of Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolate from wound swab samples revealed 80%, 60.0 %, 100 % resistant to Azetronam, Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole and Ticarcillin-clavulanic acid respectively but were 40.0 %, 60.0 % and 100% sensitive to Nalixidic acid, Ofloxacin and Ciprofloxacin as shown in Table 4. Carbapenem resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates in Table 5 demonstrated resistant to Amoxicillin 100 %, Tetracycline 100 %, Colistin 100 %, Ceftriaxone 50.0 % but were susceptible to Nalixidic acid 50.0 %, Nitrofurantoin 50.0 %, Ciprofloxacin 100 % and Ofloxacin 100 %. Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* demonstrated multidrug resistant with MARI value of 0.7, 0.6 and 0.7 from Urine, Catheter tip and Wound swab respectively as shown in Table 6.

Table 1: Distribution of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in clinical sample isolated from patient at Alex Ekwueme Federal University Hospital Teaching Hospital (AE-FUTHA)

Clinical Sample	No. of sample	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (%)
Sputum	32	3(9.4)
Urine	296	81(27.4)
HVS	82	17(20.7)
Wound swabs	51	13(25.5)
Catheter tips	39	5(12.8)
Total	500	119(23.8)

Key: HVS– High Vaginal Swab

Table 2: Distribution of Carbapenemase Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in clinical sample isolated from patient at Alex Ekwueme Federal University Hospital Teaching Hospital (AE-FUTHA)

Clinical Sample	No. of sample	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (%)	CPR (%)	CPS (%)
Sputum	32	3(9.4)	0(0.0)	3(9.4)
Urine	296	81(27.4)	24(8.1)	57(19.3)
HVS	82	17(20.7)	0(0.0)	17(20.7)
Wound swab	51	13(25.5)	5(9.8)	8(15.7)
Catheter tip	39	5(12.8)	2(5.1)	3(7.7)
Total	500	119(23.8)	31(6.2)	88(17.6)

Key: HVS-High Vaginal Swab, CPR-Carbapenem Resistant, CPS- Carbapenem Susceptible

Table 3: Antibiotic susceptibility profile of carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from Urine samples of patient at Alex Ekwueme Federal University Hospital Teaching Hospital (AE-FUTHA).

Antibiotics (µg)	No. of isolate	Resistance (%)	Susceptible (%)
Amoxicillin CA (20/10)	24	24(100)	0(0.0)
Amoxicillin (30)	24	24(100)	0(0.0)
Azetronam (30)	24	21(87.5)	3(12.5)
Ceftriaxone(30)	24	24(100)	0(0.0)
Cefoxitin (30)	24	24(100)	0(0.0)
Colistin (10)	24	24(100)	0(0.0)
Ciprofloxacin (5)	24	0(0.0)	24(100)
Ofloxacin (5)	24	6(25)	18(75)
Nalixidic acid (30)	24	24(100)	0(0.0)
Nitrofurantoin (100)	24	17(70.8)	7(29.2)
Tetracycline (30)	24	24(100)	0(0.0)
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole (25)	24	24(100)	0(0.0)
Ticarcillin-clavulanic acid (85)	24	24(100)	0(0.0)

Key: CA- Clavulanic Acid, n=Number of isolate

Table 4: Antibiotic susceptibility profile of carbapenem resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from wound swab samples of patient at Alex Ekwueme Federal University Hospital Teaching Hospital (AE-FUTHA)

Antibiotics (µg)	No. of isolate	Resistance (%)	Susceptible (%)
Amoxicillin CA (20/10)	5	5(100)	0(0.0)
Amoxicillin (30)	5	5(100)	0(0.0)
Azetronam (30)	5	4(80)	1(20)
Ceftriaxone(30)	5	5(100)	0(0.0)
Cefoxitin (30)	5	5(100)	0(0.0)
Colistin (10)	5	5(100)	0(0.0)
Ciprofloxacin (5)	5	0(0.0)	5(100)
Ofloxacin (5)	5	2(40)	3(60)
Nalixidic acid (30)	5	3(60)	2(40)
Nitrofurantoin (100)	5	5(100)	0(0.0)
Tetracycline (30)	5	5(100)	0(0.0)
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole (25)	5	3(60)	2(40)
Ticarcillin-clavulanic acid (85)	5	5(100)	0(0.0)

Table 5: Antibiotic susceptibility profile of carbapenem resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from Catheter tip samples of patient at Alex Ekwueme Federal University Hospital Teaching Hospital (AE-FUTHA).

Antibiotics (μ g)	No. of isolate	Resistance (%)	Susceptible (%)
Amoxicillin CA (20/10)	2	2(100)	0(0.0)
Amoxicillin (30)	2	2(100)	0(0.0)
Azetronam (30)	2	2(100)	0(0.0)
Ceftriaxone(30)	2	1(50)	1(50)
Cefoxitin (30)	2	2(100)	0(0.0)
Colistin (10)	2	2(100)	0(0.0)
Ciprofloxacin (5)	2	0(0.0)	2(100)
Ofloxacin (5)	2	0(0.0)	2(100)
Nalixidic acid (30)	2	1(50)	1(50)
Nitrofurantoin (100)	2	1(50)	1(50)
Tetracycline (30)	2	2(100)	0(0.0)
Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole (25)	2	2(100)	0(0.0)
Ticarcillin-clavulanic acid (85)	2	2(100)	0(0.0)

Table 6: Multiple Antibiotic Resistant Index (MARI) of Carbapenemase Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in clinical sample isolated from patient at Alex Ekwueme Federal University Hospital Teaching Hospital (AE-FUTHA)

Clinical Sample	Multiple Antibiotic Resistant Index(MARI)
Sputum	NIL
Urine	0.7
HVS	NIL
Wound swab	0.7
Catheter tip	0.6

Key: HVS-High Vaginal Swab

4. DISCUSSION

From the result, *P. aeruginosa* accounted for 23.8% of all the isolates. Although there seem to be geographical differences in the proportions between the species earlier identified in other study, this observation is not parallel with report in Zaria were 10.5% and in North-eastern Nigeria 2.1% was reported [20, 21], but strongly agrees with previous report of higher prevalence rate of 32.1% and 20.3% as published by Rajat *et al.* [22] and Javiya *et al.* [23] in Ahmadabad and Gujarat, India, 31.7% in Ethiopia [24] and other studies that reported their presence in clinical samples in Germany and Tehran, Iran [25] respectively. It's worth noting that, the prevalence of *P. aeruginosa* isolates varied with clinical conditions and specimens while comparison of epidemiological data of enterobacteria as in this study might be difficult as there are other variables that influence the outcome of results such as, clinical specimens received for examination, studied population, type of hospitals and geographical locations.

In this study, the prevalence rate of carbapenem resistant *P. aeruginosa* 17.6 % and reiterate with a finding from Uganda were low prevalence rate of 7.4% [26] slightly comparable to this our study was reported. Also carbapenemase production among CR- *P. aeruginosa* has been recently highlighted in the multi-national ERACEPA Surveillance Program were 807 CR-PA collected over 2019–2021 from 17 centres in 12 countries, only 33% tested carbapenemase-positive phenotypically (using the mCIM method) [27, 28]. In Africa, studies conducted on carbapenemase-producing *P. aeruginosa* are limited. The few reports available are from northern Africa and mainly from Egypt [29, 30]. In northern Africa, the prevalence ranges from 0 to 96% [31]. From this study, the potential source of colonization could be both hospital and community. There are several key mechanisms of carbapenem resistance in *P. aeruginosa*. The World Health Organization (WHO) designated carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* a priority 1 or “critical” pathogen in substantial need of new therapies to counteract this imminent public health crisis of resistance [32].

Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of CR- *P. aeruginosa* isolates indicated a high level of resistance to most antibiotics studied. The unique feature of *P. aeruginosa* isolates is the resistance to variety of antibiotics, Amoxicillin CA and Amoxicillin were not exception as the isolate demonstrated 100 % resistant. Similarly, Abdelrahman *et al.* [33] confirmed *P. aeruginosa* isolates were resistant to Amoxicillin and Amoxycylav (100%). Ahmad *et al.* [34] in Pakistan reported resistance to Amoxicillin and Amoxycylav was 73.4% and 67.7% respectively while similar reported in this study is in line with the pattern in earlier studies in Abakaliki, Nigeria [35] and in Malaysia [36]. The established fact, is that, the irrational and inappropriate use of antibiotics is responsible for the development of resistance against *P. aeruginosa* to routinely used antipseudomonal antibiotics. Additionally, among these porins, *OprD* is involved in antibiotic uptake. It contains the binding sites for, a class of β -lactam inhibitors antibiotics, and absence of *OprD* in *P. aeruginosa* increases the resistance to this class of antibiotic [37] as observed in this study.

Colistin (100 %) resistance in *P. aeruginosa* reiterate with a study In Thailand Hospital, were eighteen clinical specimens of CoR- *P. aeruginosa* were isolated from various sites during a 10-year period [38]. All CoR- *P. aeruginosa* strains were resistant to colistin, as shown using the broth-microdilution method (MIC > 16 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$). This pattern of resistant may result from overexpression of MexXY-OprM multidrug efflux system in *P. aeruginosa* or additionally, mutations in the two-component regulatory systems of PhoPQ and PmrAB promoted modification of aminoarabinose addition to lipid A, leading to enhanced polymyxin resistance in *P. aeruginosa* [39, 40, 41].

Some *P. aeruginosa* clinical isolates over produce β -lactamases caused by mutations in a β -lactamase inducible gene *ampC*, which greatly increased the resistance to cephalosporins [42]. Moreover, inactivating mutations in the *ampD* gene, which encodes a cytosolic N-acetyl-anhydromuramyl-l-alanine amidase may acts as a repressor of *ampC* expression, resulted in hyperproduction of β -lactamases in *P. aeruginosa* [43]. The above genotypic interaction may result in *in-vitro* resistance of CR-*P. aeruginosa* to Cefoxitin 50 %- 100% from different sample collected from AE-FUTHA. The clinical implication, is that there is need for evaluation of the efficacy of cephalosporin in the treatment of pseudomonal infections in order to prevent treatment failure, a scenario that is often common in management of pseudomonal infections.

As noted, 100 % resistance of *P. aeruginosa* to tetracyclines may result from the inducible cytoplasmic membrane protein Tet (=TET) which mediates the efflux of tetracyclines [28, 44] in *P. aeruginosa*. CR-*P. aeruginosa* 100% resistance to tetracyclines in our study conforms with result obtained by Ferguson *et al.* [45]; Al-Khafaji. [46] who found that all isolates were 100% resistant to tetracycline while Abd El-Baky *et al.* [47] reported 50 % resistant to tigecycline in the same class. The resistance pattern of tetracyclines in this study may be attributable to factors like exposure to the antibiotics in studied population. However, due to increase pattern of resistance noted in this study, the loss of porins such as *OprD* may represents an effective barrier for drug entry into the cell, a reduction in drug accumulation in the periplasmic space can also be achieved through active export by membrane-bound efflux pumps.

Most disturbing pattern observed in this study was the multiple Antibiotic resistance exhibited by all the isolates showing multidrug resistant with MARI value of 0.7, 0.6 and 0.7 from Urine, Catheter tip and Wound swab respectively. The isolate were non-susceptibility to at least one antibiotic in at least three classes for which *P. aeruginosa* susceptibility is generally expected. Patient populations at greatest risk of acquiring MDR-*P. aeruginosa* infections include most likely those admitted to the Surgical outpatient department, Intensive Care Unit etc., in the preceding year, immunocompromised patients, those with chronic pulmonary disease.

Although this strain of carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* did not portray difficult to- treat resistance (DTR) as proposed in 2018 and defined as non-susceptibility to all of the following: piperacillin-tazobactam, ceftazidime, cefepime, aztreonam, meropenem, imipenem-cilastatin, ciprofloxacin, and levofloxacin [32; 48, 49]. This raises hope that ciprofloxacin and ofloxacin has good potential for treatment of Pseudomonad infections. The use of this antibiotic agent is strongly recommended when susceptibility of the implicated MDR *P. aeruginosa* isolate is known, and there is a significant improvement in the patient's condition but it's also worrisome as only two drug from flouroquinolone class were effective in this study. As such there is a substantial need of evaluation of wide spectrum and new therapies in different classes to counteract this imminent crisis of resistance among Carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa*.

5. CONCLUSION

This study reports the prevalence of carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* thus, the clinical implication is that there is need for evaluation of the efficacy of most drug in the treatment of pseudomonal infections in order to prevent treatment failure, a scenario that is often common in management of pseudomonal infections. As *in-vitro* susceptibility of MDR carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* isolate to ofloxacin and ciprofloxacin is known, their judicious utilization will accelerate a significant improvement in the patient's condition. Further study provide epidemiological information on carbapenemase genotype and other resistant determinant.

CONSENT

All authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

ETHICAL CLEARANCE

The protocol for this study was convey with Ethical clearance number SMOH/ERC/043/21 which was gotten from the research and ethics committee of Ministry of Health Ebonyi State.

DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

REFERENCES

1. Pachori P, Gothalwal R, Gandhi P. Emergence of Antibiotic Resistance *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Intensive Care Unit: A Critical Review. *Genes Discovery*. 2019; 6:109–119.
2. Yoon EJ, Jeong SH. Mobile Carbapenemase Genes in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Front Microbiol*. 2021; 12:614-058
3. Qu J, Cai Z, Liu Y, Duan X, Han S, Liu J, Zhu Y, Jiang Z, Zhang Y, Zhuo C. Persistent Bacterial Coinfection of a COVID-19 Patient Caused by a Genetically Adapted *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Chronic Colonizer. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. 2021 11:641920.
4. Loyola-Cruz MÁ, Durán-Manuel EM, Cruz-Cruz C, Marquez-Valdelamar LM, Bravata-Alcantara, JC, Cortés-Ortíz IA, Cureño-Díaz MA, Ibáñez-Cervantes G, Fernández-Sánchez V, Castro-Escarpulli G. ESKAPE bacteria Characterization Reveals the Presence of *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* outbreaks in COVID-19/VAP patients. *Am J Infect Cont*. 2022; 20:22-67.
5. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) (2019). European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance Network. Available online: <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications-data/surveillance-antimicrobial-resistance-europe-2019> (accessed on 18 November 2020).
6. Herrera-Espejo S, Del Barrio-Tofiño E, Cebrero-Cangueiro T, López-Causapé C, Álvarez-Marín R, Cisneros JM, Pachón J, Oliver A, Pachón-Ibáñez ME. Carbapenem Combinations for Infections Caused by Carbapenemase-Producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: Experimental *In Vitro* and *In Vivo* Analysis. *Antibiotics*. 2022;11:12-12.
7. Zilberberg M, Shorr AF. Prevalence of Multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae among Specimens from Hospitalized Patients with Pneumonia and Bloodstream Infections in the United States from 2000 to 2009. *J Hospital Med*. 2013; 8: 559–563.
8. Moradali MF, Ghods S, Rehm BH. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Lifestyle: A Paradigm for Adaptation, Survival, and Persistence. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. 2017; 7:39-78.

9. Jean SS, Ko WC, Lu MC, Lee WS, Hsueh PR. Multicenter Surveillance of In Vitro Activities of Cefepime-Zidebactam, Cefepime- Enmetazobactam, Omadacycline, Eravacycline, and Comparator Antibiotics Against Enterobacterales, *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa*, and *Acinetobacter Baumannii* Complex Causing Bloodstream Infection in Taiwan 2020. *Exp Rev Anti Infect Ther.* 2022; 1:13-45.
10. El Solh AA, Alhajhusain A. Update on the Treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pneumonia. *J Antimicrob Agent Chemother.* 2009; 64:229–238.
11. Willyard C. The Drug Resistant Bacteria that Pose the Greatest Health Threats. *Nature.* 2017; 543:15-78.
12. Sheu CC, Chang YT, Lin SY, Chen YH, Hsueh PR. Infections Caused by Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae: An Update on Therapeutic Options. *Frontier in Microbiol.* 2019; 10:80-89
13. Verma N, Prahraj AK, Mishra B, Behera B, Gupta K. Detection of Carbapenemase-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* by Phenotypic and Genotypic Methods in a Tertiary Care Hospital of East India. *J Laboratory Physiol.* 2019; 11:287–291.
14. Rahman M, Prasad KN, Gupta S, Singh S, Singh A, Pathak A. Prevalence and Molecular Characterization of New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamases in Multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* from India. *Microb Drug Resist.* 2018; 24:792–798.
15. Iroha IR, Orji JO, Onwa NC, Nwuzo AC, Okonkwo EC, Ibiam EO, Nwachi AC, Afuikwa FN, Agah VM, Ejikegwu EPC, Agumah NB, Moses IB, Ugbo E, Ukpai EG, Nwakaeze E A, Oke B, Ogbu L and Nwunna E. Microbiology Practical Handbook. (Editor; Ogbu. O), 1st Edition. Charlieteximage Africa (CiAfrica Press), 2019; Pp:344.
16. Edemekong, CI, Iroha IR, Thompson, MD, Okolo, IO., Uzoeto HO, Ngwu JN, Mohammed ID, Chukwu EB, Nwuzo AC, Okike BM, Okolie SO, Peter IU. Phenotypic Characterization and Antibiogram of Non-Oral Bacteria Isolates from Patients Attending Dental Clinic at Federal College of Dental Technology and Therapy Medical Center Enugu. *Int J Pathog Res.* 2022; 11(2): 7-19.
17. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; twenty-eighth edition (M100). Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2019.
18. Peter IU, Ngwu JN, Edemekong CI, Ugwueke IV., Uzoeto HO., Joseph OV., Mohammed ID., Mbong EO, Nومه OL., Ikusika BA., Ubom IJ., Inyogu JC., Ntekpe ME., Obodoechi IF., NseAbasi, PL., Ogonna IP., Didiugwu CM, Akpu PO., Alagba EE., Ogba RC, Iroha, IR. First Report Prevalence of Livestock Acquired Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (LA-MRSA) Strain in South Eastern, Nigeria . *IOSR J Nurs Health Sci.* 2022a; 11(1):50-56.
19. Peter IU, Okolo IO, Uzoeto HO, Edemekong CI, Thompson MD, Chukwu EB, Mohammed, ID, Ubom, IJ, Joseph, OV, Nwuzo, AC, Akpu PO, Iroha, IR (2022a). Identification and Antibiotic Resistance Profile of Biofilm-forming Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) Causing Infection among Orthopedic Wound Patients. *Asian J Res Med Pharm Sci.* 2022b; 11(4): 45-55.
20. Olayinka AT, Onile BA, Olayinka BO. Prevalence of multi-drug resistant (MDR) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates in surgical units in Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital, Zaria, Nigeria: An indication for effective control measures, *Annals of Afri Med.* 2004; 3(1):13-16
21. Okon KO, Agukwe PC, Oladosu W, Balogun ST, Uba A. Antibiotic resistance pattern of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from clinical specimens in a tertiary care hospital in North-eastren Nigeria, *Internet J Microbiol.* 2010; 2(9)8:1-6.

22. Rajat RM, Ninama GL, Mistry K, Parmar R, Patel K, Vegad MM. Antibiotic resistance pattern in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* species isolated at a tertiary care Hospital, Ahmadabad, *National J Med Res.* 2012; 2(11):156-9.
23. Javiya VA, Ghatak SB, Patel KR, Patel JA. Antibiotic susceptibility patterns of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at a tertiary care hospital in Gujarat, India, *Indian J Pharmacol.* 2008; 40:230-4.
24. Sewunet T, Asrat D, Woldeamanuel Y, Aseffa A, Giske CG. Molecular Epidemiology and Antimicrobial Susceptibility of *Pseudomonas* species and *Acinetobacter* species from Clinical Samples at Jimma Medical Center, Ethiopia. *Front Microbiol.* 2022; 13:5-9.
25. Schäfer E, Malecki M, Tellez-Castillo CJ, Pfennigwerth N, Marlinghaus L, Higgins PG, Mattner F, Wendel AF. Molecular Surveillance of Carbapenemase producing *Pseudomonasaeruginosa* at Three Medical Centres in Cologne, Germany. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Cont.* 2019; 8:208-209.
26. Aruhomukama D, Najjuka CF, Kajumbula H, Okee M, Mboowa G, Sserwadda I. BlaVIM- and blaOXA-mediated Carbapenem Resistance among *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolates from the Mulago Hospital Intensive Care Unit in Kampala, Uganda. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2019; 19:853.
27. Gill CM, Aktap E, Alfouzan W, Bourassa L, Brink A, Burnham CD, Canton R, Carmeli Y, Falcone M, Kiffer C, Marchese A, Martinez O, Pournaras S, Satlin M, Seifert H, Thabit AK, Thomson KS, Villegas MV, Nicolau DP, ERACE-PA Global Study Group. The ERACE-PA Global Surveillance Program: Ceftolozane/tazobactam and Ceftazidime/avibactam in vitro Activity against a Global Collection of Carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *European J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2021; 40(12):2533–2541.
28. Tenover FC, Nicolau DP, Gill CM. Carbapenemase producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* –an Emerging Challenge. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 2022; 11:1811-814
29. Osei Sekyere J, Reta MA. Genomic and Resistance Epidemiology of Gram-negative Bacteria in Africa: A systematic Review and Phylogenomic Analyses from a One Health Perspective. *mSystems.* 2020; 5:897-20
30. Rizk SS, Elwakil WH, Attia AS. Antibiotic-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in Low-income countries (2000–2020): Twenty-one Years and still below the Radar, is it not there or can they not Afford to look for it? *Antibiotics.* 2021; 10:764-768.
31. Gaballah A, Elbaradei A, Elbaradei A. Emergence of blaVEB and blaGES among VIM-producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical isolates in Alexandria, Egypt. *Acta Microbiol Immunol.* 2018; 66:131–142.
32. Kunz Coyne AJ, Amer E, Dana H, Nicholas R, Michael J R. Therapeutic Strategies for Emerging Multidrug- Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Infect Dis Ther.* 2022; 11:661–682
33. Abdelrahman DN, Taha AA, Dafaallah MM. Extended Spectrum β -lactamases and class C β -lactamases gene frequency in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from various Clinical Specimens in Khartoum State, Sudan: A Cross Sectional Study. *F1000Research.* 2020; 9:7-74
34. Ahmad M, Hassan M, Khalid A. Prevalence of Extended Spectrum β lactamase and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern of Clinical Isolates of *Pseudomonas* from Patients of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Biomed Res Int* 2016; 10(2):143–148.
35. Amadi ES, Uzoaru PN, Orji I, Nwaziri AA. Antibiotic resistance in clinical isolates of *P.aeruginosa* in Enugu and Abakalilki, Nigeria. *Internet J Infect Dis.* (2009; 7(1):23-100.
36. Jombo GA, Jonah P, Ayeni JA. Multidrug Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Contemporary Medical Practice: Findings from Urinary Isolates At A Nigerian University Teaching Hospital, *Niger J Physiol Sci.* 2008; 23(1-2):105-109.
37. Li H, Luo YF, Williams BJ, Blackwell TS, Xie CM. Structure and function of OprD protein in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: from antibiotic resistance to novel therapies, *Int J Med Microbiol.* 2012; 302(24):63–68.

38. Pungcharoenkijkul S, Traipattanakul J, Thunyaharn S, Santimaleeworagun W. Antimicrobials as Single and Combination Therapy for Colistin-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at a University Hospital in Thailand. *Antibiotics*. 2020; 9:475-489.
39. Miller MB, Bassler BL. Quorum sensing in bacteria, *Annual Rev Microbiol*. 2001; 55(2): 165–199.
40. Moskowitz SM, Ernst RK, Miller SI. PmrAB, a two-component regulatory system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* that modulates resistance to cationic antimicrobial peptides and addition of aminoarabinose to lipid A. *J Bacteriol*. 2004; 186(43):575–579.
41. Owusu-Anim D, Kwon DH. Differential role of two-component regulatory systems (phoPQ and pmrAB) in Polymyxin B susceptibility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Adv Microbiol*. 2012; 2(55):90-201.
42. Berrazeg M, Jeannot K, Ntsogo Enguene VY, Broutin I, Loeffert S. Mutations in beta-Lactamase AmpC increase resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Isolates to Antipseudomonal Cephalosporins, *J Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015; 59(234):6248–6255.
43. Juan C, Macia MD, Gutierrez O, Vidal C, Perez JL, Oliver A. Molecular mechanisms of beta-lactam resistance mediated by AmpC hyperproduction in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* clinical strains. *J Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2005; 49(345):4733–4738.
44. Li XZ, Nikaido H. Efflux-mediated drug resistance in bacteria: an update. *Drugs Resist Update*. 2009; 69(55):1555–1623.
45. Ferguson D, Cahill OJ, Quilty B. Phenotypic, molecular and antibiotic resistance profiling of nosocomial *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains isolated from two Irish Hospitals. *J Medicine*. 2007; 1(1): 201-210.
46. Al-Khafaji J. Isolation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from Clinical Cases and Environmental Samples, and Analysis of its Antibiotic Resistant Spectrum at Hilla Teaching Hospital. *Med J Babylon*. 2011; 12:82-11.
47. Abd El-Baky RM, Masoud SM, Mohamed DS, Waly NGFM, Shafik EA, Mohareb DA, Elkady A, Elbadr MM, Hetta HF. Prevalence and Some Possible Mechanisms of Colistin Resistance among Multidrug-Resistant and Extensively Drug-Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Infect Drug Resist*. 2020; 13:323-333.
48. Tamma PD, Aitken SL, Bonomo RA, Mathers AJ, van Duin D, Clancy CJ. Infectious Diseases Society of America guidance on the treatment of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase producing Enterobacterales (ESBL-E), carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (CRE), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with difficult-to-treat resistance (DTR-*P. aeruginosa*). *Clin Infect Dis J*, 2021; 72(7):169–83.
49. Kadri SS, Adjemian J, Lai YL. Difficult-to-treat Resistance in Gram-negative Bacteremia at 173 US Hospitals: Retrospective Cohort Analysis of Prevalence, Predictors, and Outcome of Resistance to all First-line Agents. *Clin Infect Dis J*. 2018; 67(12): 1803–14.