

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_94997
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study on Therapeutic Efficacy of Different Anthelmintics in Treatment of Swamp Buffalo Naturally Infested with Gastro-intestinal Parasites
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsajp.com/index.php/SAJP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community  Yes the title is ok.  The abstract is comprehensive  Yes  Yes  The references are ok.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is scholarly and scientifically sound. However, the few corrections highlight in the manuscript should be effected by author(s).	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gideon Yakusak Benjamin
Department, University & Country	Nuhu Bamalli Polytechnic, Nigeria