

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_94589
Title of the Manuscript:	TEST FOR REDUCING SUGAR CONTENT OF CASSAVA WASTE (PEELS) DURING SOLID STATE FERMENTATION FOR TREATED AND UNTREATED SAMPLE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsajp.com/index.php/SAJP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Overall, this is an interesting study and the authors have collected a unique dataset. The manuscript is generally well organized, and the introduction or background sections provide useful information for the readers. However, the paper has some shortcomings regarding some data analyses and text, and this unique dataset has not been utilized to its full extent. Given these shortcomings the manuscript requires major revisions following.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The authors should provide the objective of the research at the beginning of the manuscript and clarify the scope of the research or the characterization methods shortly in the end of introduction section. - Page 4: Isomerization of glucose syrup, the authors write "the absorbance of the colored product was measured against a reagent blank at 500nm and the fructose yield was calculated using D-fructose standard". What is the instrument for absorbance analysis? Therefore, it is desirable to provide the information about instrument and experimental condition for absorbance analysis of the colored products. - What is the concentration unit or unit of sugar in the manuscript content, table 1 and 2. - In conclusion section, the inclusion criteria used are not mentioned clearly. The keywords used for publication search are missing. The authors should conclude about the results of experiment such as the best condition, the different between untreated and treated sample, and/or the future analysis. 	
Minor REVISION comments	English language and style are minor spell check required and the capital letter virtually needs extensive revision in several sentences.	
Optional/General comments	It is recommended to the authors to continue their research. Nevertheless, it is advised to perform a rigorous study of analytical techniques and evaluation and to find an adequate knowledge gap that can be addressed in their future study.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pimthong Thongnopkun
Department, University & Country	Burpapha University, Thailand