

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Parasitology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJP_94589
Title of the Manuscript:	TEST FOR REDUCING SUGAR CONTENT OF CASSAVA WASTE (PEELS) DURING SOLID STATE FERMENTATION FOR TREATED AND UNTREATED SAMPLE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsajp.com/index.php/SAJP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>For Abstract: see comments in manuscript.</p> <p>For Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> It is not clear on why you do this study. What is your significant of study and problem statement? The objectives are not stated. <p>For Materials and Methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The method for Solid State Fermentation was none or not clear. What are the treated and untreated samples? State them clearly. Include reference for all the methods you used. Some methods are not described (e.g. how do you determine the fructose standards?) Include the reason why you isomerize the glucose. <p>For Results:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> By "Reducing Sugar Content", do you mean the glucose and fructose or only fructose? What is the unit for the reducing sugar content? Suddenly in the tables, there were some bacteria included – what are the role of these in the study because they are not mentioned in the Materials and Methods. Why there is no data for day 0 for <i>A. niger</i> and mixed culture? Are there any statistical analyses done on the data? If yes, please include them in your Results and Discussion. No explanation was written for Figures 1-9. Are the results shown in the figures are similar to that of the tables? If yes, please use only one. If you use the figures, should also include error bars for each bars. Remove the values for each bars – you should explain these in text. <p>For Discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Discussion is weak. You merely add Results to this part. Include more comparison with studies of previous researchers. See comments in manuscript. <p>For Conclusion: see comments in manuscript.</p> <p>For References: check format on how to cite references and make sure it is consistent throughout.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The overall content of the manuscript does not reflect on the title. Many info is missing. Please check the grammar and spellings. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hazlina Ahamad Zakeri
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia, Malaysia