

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_94957
Title of the Manuscript:	MORPHOLOGICAL DIVERSITY OF FUNGAL STRAINS RESPONSIBLE FOR DESSERT BANANA ROT, MUSA SAPIENTUM (MUSACEAE) PRODUCED IN THE SOUTH COMOE REGION ON IVORY COAST
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmri.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes it is</p> <p>The title is relevant and appropriate</p> <p>The abstract is appropriately written and reflects the summary content of the work done</p> <p>Good</p> <p>Yes it is</p> <p>Okay</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>All the keywords are appropriate but should at least be five in number and properly spelled out</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The scientific and technical content are appropriate and experimental methods are consistent with recent techniques in the field. Words marked with red should be properly spelled out while words marked with yellow should be in past tense. Words under Phylum, Class, Order in table one should be <i>Italicize</i>. Words marked in blue should also be <i>Italicize</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nura Mohammed
Department, University & Country	Kaduna State College of Education, Nigeria