

Original Research Article

Microbiological, physicochemical and sensory characterization of honey, a natural healthy product in Burkina Faso

ABSTRACT

Honey is a natural product produced by bees from the nectar of flowers. It is a very healthy food whose multiple properties significantly improve health and prevent many diseases. However, some practices can affect its quality, hence the objective of the study was to assess the honey safety from 6 honey-producing regions in Burkina Faso. The physicochemical, microbiological and sensory characteristics were determined using standard methods. The density was between 1.39 ± 0.00 to 1.44 ± 0.00 ; the pH, 5.73 ± 0.00 to 6.56 ± 0.00 ; the total acidity, 13.00 ± 5.00 to 83.00 ± 5.00 meq/kg; the brix degree, 86.00 ± 0.00 to 88.50 ± 0.50 %; the moisture, 11.86 ± 0.46 to 18.83 ± 1.83 %, the electrical conductivity 101.00 ± 2.00 to 155.00 ± 1.00 $\mu\text{s}/\text{cm}$ and the Hydroxy Methyl furfural (HMF), from 14.67 ± 0.00 to 90.52 ± 0.35 . As for the results of the microbiological analysis, the total counts varied from $1.21.105 \pm 1.18.104$ to $3.50.103 \pm 3.50.103$ CFU/mL; yeast and mold rate were below 103 CFU/mL, spore contamination is also noted in some honey samples and was between $2.23 \times 10^1 \pm 2.51$ to $1.38 \times 10^2 \pm 7.63$, but *Salmonella-Shigella* and coliform were not detected in the honey samples. Sensory analysis revealed that the organoleptic characteristics of honey varied from region to another. All the honey was differently appreciated by the tasters.

Keywords: Honey, microbiological quality, physicochemical quality, sensory quality, Burkina Faso

1. INTRODUCTION

Honey is a natural product produced by bees of the species *Apis mellifera* from flower nectar and as well as honeydew, they collect, transform and store them in the combs of the hive (Azeredo et al., 2003). It has been consumed by man since ancient times and traditionally used as a sweetener and for therapeutic purposes (Bobis et al., 2020). Honey is a very healthy food whose multiple properties significantly improve health and prevent many diseases. It prevents arthritis and helps reduce cholesterol levels. It increases energy and strengthens the immune system. It is a great ally in cleansing the body thanks to its antibacterial and antiviral properties.

This product was considered a preferred food by wealthy families. Thus, beekeeping began to experience significant growth in various regions of the world. In Burkina Faso, this activity has an important place in the rural populations life. Indeed, agriculture and animal husbandry, which were the only main activities, must have to reckon with beekeeping as important sources of income.

Honey presents physicochemical and microbiological characteristics that makes it possible to determine its botanical origin, its quality or its adulteration (Nombré et al., 2010). Among its physico-chemical characteristics, Electrical Conductivity (EC) and pH are used to differentiate the geological botanical origin of honey, while parameters such as Hydroxy Methyl furfural (HMF) content reflects its age and thermal past (Bruneau, 2005; Nombré et al., 2010).

Honey is, of course, the best known and the most consumed bee product. It is fashionable to say that honey is a "nutraceutical" (food-medicine), and that it is a "natural product" in our time when consumers are wary not without reason of foods available on the market. As a result, quality control is necessary for

Comment [O1]: ranged

Comment [O2]: Delete all the standard deviation values. Look at the ones highlighted in yellow.

Comment [O3]: betweenand Correct this all through the text. The densities ranged between 1.39 and 1.44, the pH was between 5.73 and 6.56, the total acidity, 13.00 and 83,.....

Comment [O4]: Delete

Comment [O5]: and

Comment [O6]: recast this sentence to be :the electrical conductivity ranged between 101 and 155 while $\mu\text{s}/\text{cm}$ and Hydroxy Methyl furfural (HMF) ranged between 14.67 and 90.52.

Comment [O7]: Microbiological analysis showed total microbial counts in the honey samples to range betweenand.....CFU/mL, yeast and mould growth were below..... Salmonella, Shigella and Coliform were not detected in the honey samples.

Comment [O8]: due

consumer satisfaction. The composition of honey can vary widely depending on the region, season, bee variety, plant source of nectar and storage time in the honeycomb as well as the mode of harvesting and post-harvest storage (Al-Farsi et al., 2018). Honey is often adulterated or passed to heat either to increase its quantity or lifespan, in order to derive more benefits from it. These practices can be dangerous for people suffering from diabetes or even toxic for consumers, so it is necessary to assess the quality of honey consumed by the population in Burkina Faso for the preservation of its health. This study evaluated the physico-chemical, microbiological and sensory characteristics of honey produced from six producing regions in Burkina Faso.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The honey samples were collected from six producing regions in Burkina Faso: Cascade, Est, Boucle du Mouhoun, Sud-Ouest, Nord and Centre. In each region, a quantity of 350 mL of honey was twice collected.

2.1. Physico-chemical analysis of honey

The evaluation of honey quality in Burkina Faso was assessed through the determination of the physico-chemical characteristics of samples from six regions of Burkina Faso.

The density of honey was determined using the method described by Qamer et al. (2019). Ten (10) mL of each sample were weighed using an electronic model scale and 10 mL of distilled water were weighed. The density of the honeys was calculated according to the following formula :

$$d = \frac{m_0}{m}$$

m_0 : mass of the sample; m : mass of water

The pH was determined according to the AOAC method (AOAC 962.19, 2000) using a Hanna model electrode pH meter. Ten (10) g of honey were dissolved in 45 mL of distilled water and then well homogenized. The pH of the solution was read on the display of the pH meter.

The total acidity of the honey samples was determined by the volumetric titration according to AOAC 962.19 (2000). It is deduced from the volume of NaOH (0.05 N) added to the honey solution until a pH of 8.5 respectively is obtained. In a 250 mL beaker, dissolve 2.5 g of honey in 25 mL of distilled water and stir well. The initial pH of the solution was measured and then titrated with NaOH (0.05 N) to pH 8.5. The result was expressed in mEq of acid per kilogram of honey according to the following formulas:

$$\text{Total acidity} = \frac{(V_{\text{NaOH}} - V_b) \times 50}{m}$$

V_{NaOH} = volume of NaOH solution poured in the presence of the sample in mL;

V_b = volume of the NaOH solution for blank titration ; m = mass of honey.

The Brix degree measurement was made using an ATC model refractometer. One (1) mL of the sample was used and the reading was made directly on the screen of the device after a countdown of ten seconds then expressed as a percentage (%) (AOAC 983.17, 2000).

The moisture content of the samples was estimated using the thermogravimetric method (AOAC 925.10, 2000). The principle of this method is based on the physical elimination of water from the sample by heating in an oven. Five (5) g of honey were weighed and placed in an oven at a temperature of 105°C for 24 hours. The sample was then removed, cooled in a desiccator for 30 minutes, then weighed. The moisture content was determined according to the following formula:

$$H (\%) = \frac{M - m}{M - m_0} \times 100$$

m_0 = the weight of the empty capsule (g) ; M = the weight of the capsule and the sample before drying ; m = the weight of the capsule and the sample after drying

The electrical conductivity (EC) of the honey samples was carried out according to the method described by Bogddanov et al. (1997). The measurements were carried out at 20°C in an aqueous solution. The reading was taken directly after immersing the conductivity cell in the solution. For this, 20 g of honey were taken and dissolved in a beaker containing 100 mL of distilled water. This solution was placed in a bath equipped with a thermostat to have a temperature of 20°C. Finally, the conductimetry cell (Schott

Comment [O9]: Delete. Just write: Honey samples were sourced from.....

Comment [O10]: were collected twice into sterilized and labeled bottles.

Comment [O11]: were

Comment [O12]: Delete

Comment [O13]: cite the reference to the author if any.

Comment [O14]: Delete. Just write: pH of the samples were determined according to the method of AOAC (AOAC 962.19, 2000) using.....

Comment [O15]: Ten (10)g of each honey sample was.....

Comment [O16]: Delete

Comment [O17]: were

Comment [O18]: Delete

Comment [O19]: was not is

Comment [O20]: A 2.5g of honey was dispensed into 25ml distilled water in a 250ml beaker and stirred well.

Comment [O21]: Delete

Comment [O22]: Delete. Insert: of each honey sample was determined using....

Comment [O23]: Delete. Insert: eah honey sample

Comment [O24]: were

Comment [O25]: of each honey sample was weighed in porcelain crucibles and placed in an oven.

Comment [O26]: The oven was heated to a temperature of 105°C for

Comment [O27]: ????? 24h?

Comment [O28]: After which, the samples were reomoved from the sample and cooled in a

Comment [O29]: each honey sample....

brand) was immersed in the beaker to measure the electrical conductivity. The results are displayed directly on the screen and were expressed in milliSiemens per centimeter (mS/cm).

The Hydroxy-Methyl-Furfural (HMF) level was determined by modifying the bisulphite method described by Bogdanov et al. (2002) using the spectrophotometer. This method is based on the determination of the absorbance of HMF at 284 nm and 336 nm, in order to avoid interference from other components. The HMF level was determined according to the following formula:

$$\text{HMF (mg/Kg of honey)} = \frac{(A_{284} - A_{336})}{P} \times 149,7 \times 5$$

A284: Absorbance at 284 nm; **A336:** Absorbance at 336 nm; **P:** test portion

2.2. Microbiological analysis of honey

A volume of 10 mL of each sample was added under sterile conditions to 90 mL of a sterile physiological solution (9 %) and the well-homogenized mixture and ten-fold serial dilutions were made. The culture media were prepared strictly following the manufacturer's recommendations, which appear on the packaging label. A quantity of the dehydrated medium was dissolved in distilled water and then homogenized the mixture. Then, the media were sterilized at autoclave at 121°C for 15 minutes for Mueller Hinton Agar (MH), Eosin with Methylene Blue (EMB), Sabouraud media. The media were cooled and poured into Petri dishes.

The total counts were performed on Mueller Hinton agar after 24 to 48 hours of incubation at 37°C (ISO 4833, 1991).

The Yeast and molds were counted on Sabouraud agar after 72 hours at 25°C (NFV 08 059, 2002).

The Total and thermotolerant coliforms were counted on Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) agar after 24 to 48 hours of incubation at 37°C for total coliforms and 44°C for thermotolerant coliforms (NF/ISO V 08- 017, 1980). Colonies of total coliforms are red colonies surrounded by an opaque halo and those of thermotolerant are purple in color sand surrounded by a red halo.

The spores forming-bacteria were counted on the MH agar after 24 to 48 hours of incubation at 37°C (ISO 15213, 2003).

Salmonella and shigella were searched according to the ISO 6579 (2002) standard. The research was carried out in 3 stages which are: pre-enrichment, selective enrichment and isolation.

For the pre-enrichment, a volume of 25 mL of each honey sample was taken aseptically and introduced into 225 mL of peptone water and then incubated for 24 hours at 37°C.

The selective enrichment was done by transferring, using a sterile pipette, 0.1 mL of the pre-enriched liquid medium into 09 mL of Rappaport-Vassiliadis (RV) selective liquid medium. Incubation done at 37°C for 24 hours.

The Isolation was made on salmonella-shigella (SS) agar, from the stock enrichment solution. Starting with a streak, the incubation was done at 37°C for 24 hours. The reading of the dishes and identification of salmonella and shigella is presented as follows: colonies most often blue-gray with a black center on SS agar.

For microbiological analysis, the results that were retained come from the counting of dishes containing between 15 and 300 colonies according to the ISO 7218 (2007) standard. The number N of microorganisms present in the samples was calculated as the weighted average of two successive decimal dilutions using the following formula:

$$N = \frac{\sum C}{V \cdot d \cdot (n_1 + 0.1n_2)}$$

$\sum C$ = Sum of colonies counted on the dishes kept after two successive decimal dilutions;

V = Volume of inoculates applied to each box;

d = dilution corresponding to the first dilution retained;

$(n_1 + 0.1 n_2)$: n_1 the number of plates of the first dilution and n_2 that of the second dilution. For plates with a sum of colonies fewer than 15:

$$N = \frac{\sum C}{V \cdot d}$$

For the box in which there is no colony N is noted: less than 1 (<1)

Comment [O30]: Delete

Comment [O31]: concentration

Comment [O32]: by the bisulphite method described by Bogdanov et al. (2002) with slight modification.

Comment [O33]: Not necessary. Delete.

Comment [O34]: calculated using the formula:

Comment [O35]: homogenized. A ten-fold serial dilutions of each honey sample was prepared.

Comment [O36]: Delete. Just state clearly the culture media you used.

Comment [O37]: Mueller Hinton Agar (MH), Eosin with Methylene Blue (EMB), Sabouraud media were prepared according to the manufacturer's instruction. Each culture media was sterilized in an autoclave at 121°C for 15 minutes.

Comment [O38]: identified

Comment [O39]: Not clear. State clearly what was retained.

Comment [O40]: came

Comment [O41]: average weight of...

Comment [O42]: was no colony observed: le...

2.3. Analysis of the sensory quality of honey

Sensory analysis is the technique that uses human senses to know and describe the organoleptic characteristics of a product. For this, 60 people in the 20-45 years old group were selected to form the jury, giving particular importance to their repeatability and their ability to describe, with the aim of perceiving the sensory quality of honey. The six types of honey were subjected to different tests. with a panel of 60 people. For each honey sample, the tasters will have:

- A corked glass bottle with a capacity of 100 mL containing 10 g of honey allowing description of the color, texture and smell perceived on the nose.
- A glass also containing 10 g of honey allowing the description of the flavor and the aroma perceived in the mouth.

Between each honey, the subjects rinsed their mouth with mineral water and eating an apple wedge. All honey samples were presented anonymously with a two-digit code so as not to influence tasters.

2.3. Data analysis

The data was entered on the Excel 2013 software, then analyzed on XLSTAT-Premium. V2016.0227444. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for the comparison of the means using Turkey's test at $p < 0.05$.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physico-chemical characteristics of honey

The results of the physico-chemical analysis of honey samples from the six regions of Burkina Faso are presented in Table 1.

Comment [O43]: aged, 20-45 years were,,,

Comment [O44]: six honey samples we....

Comment [O45]: Delete

Comment [O46]: Delete. Insert: had

Comment [O47]: Delete. Insert: and were asked to describe the color, texture and smell.

Comment [O48]: Delete : Insert: was given to each person to describe the flavor and aroma of the honey samples respectively.

Comment [O49]: analyzed using XLSTAT-Premium. V2016.0227444 software

Comment [O50]: Restate: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed and means were separated using Turkey's test at $p < 0.05$.

Table 1. Physico-chemical characteristics of honey

Samples	Density	pH	TA (meq/kg)	°Brix (%)	Moisture (%)	CE (µS/cm)	HMF (mg/kg)
E ₁ OR	1,41±0,01 ^{ab}	5,73±0,00	83,00±5,00 ^{de}	86,00± 0,00 ^a	18,83±1,83 ^c	155,00±1,00 ^e	82,93±0,00 ^{ef}
E ₂ FA	1,42±0,00 ^{cd}	6,56±0,03	13,00±5,00 ^a	88,00±0,00 ^{cd}	11,86±0,46 ^a	101,00±2,00 ^a	14,67±0,00 ^a
E ₃ TO	1,39±0,00 ^a	6,33±0,00	18,46±0,50 ^{ab}	87,50±0,50 ^{bc}	16,83±0,00 ^{bc}	118,00±1,00 ^b	77,54±10,18 ^e
E ₄ GA	1,42±0,00 ^{bc}	5,92±0,01	23,00±5,00 ^{abc}	87,95± 0,05 ^{cd}	16,96±0,07 ^{bc}	145,00±1,00 ^d	35,33±1,5 ^c
E ₅ OU	1,40±0,00 ^{ab}	6,01±0,00	29,66±2,88 ^{bcd}	88,50±0,50 ^d	17,17±0,28 ^{bc}	138,00±0,00 ^c	25,75±0,00 ^b
E ₆ OA	1,44±0,00 ^{dc}	6,01±0,01	36,00±2,00 ^{cd}	86,75±0,05 ^{ab}	15,98±0,08 ^b	139,00±1,00 ^c	90,52±0,35 ^f
Codex Standard	-	3.58-4.84	< 50	-	< 21	≤ 800	< 80

Legend: Values with different letters or indices are significantly different (p-value < 0.05)

The density or specific gravity of the different honey samples varied from 1.39 to 1.44 with no difference significant. These values are similar to those of Hoyet (2005) who reported that for an average moisture content of 17.5% at 20°C, the average density is 1.42 and generally varies from 1.39 to 1.44. The variation in honey density could be explained by poor storage conditions. For example, if the container containing the honey is poorly closed and the room is too humid, honey harvested prematurely, and less ripe, will have a lower density.

The pH values of the honey samples studied ranged from 5.73 to 6.56. It appears that the Honey samples from Ouahigouya and Ouagadougou have no significant difference. These values are not similar to those found by Nombé et al. (2010) on honey imported and sold in Burkina Faso with pH values between 3.58 and 4.84. But our results are consistent with those reported by Hotey (2005) who reported that honey is acidic and the pH fluctuates between 3 and 6. According to Sorio et al. (2004), the pH of honey can exceed 6. The pH values of the analyzed honey do not respect the standard of the Codex Alimentarius (2000), which stipulates that honey must have a pH between 3.5 and 5.5.

The total acidity of honey is the non-cyclic form of gluconic acid, the acidity values studied ranged from 13.00 to 83.00 meq/kg. Almost all the samples (83.33%) comply with the Codex Alimentarius standard (2000) against 16.67% which had acidity slightly above the standard. These values are similar to those of Rabeharifara (2011) on the characterization of Malagasy honey for authentication and to that of Kologo (2017) on the evaluation of the physico-chemical quality of some honey sold in Ouagadougou. A strong acidity of honey is likely to cause the degradation of hexoses into Hydroxy-Methyl-Furfural. Consequently, the analyzed honey samples with Total acidity above 16.67% are the most exposed to degradation due to their free acid content.

The brix degree values of the honey varied from 85.50±0.50 to 86.00±0.00. Despite their geographical differences, the honey samples analyzed showed almost similar box values. The Brix degree is used to quantify the sugar fraction of a liquid. It corresponds to the mass of sugar in grams (sucrose) contained in 100 g (i.e. approximately 100 mL of the solution).

The results of moisture content are similar to those found on honey sold in the city of Ouagadougou (10.10±0.09 to 22.51±0.40) (Kologo, 2017) and to that of Meda et al. (2005), on Burkina honey collected directly from beekeepers (15.1 to 21.9). The honey samples studied all have a moisture ≤ 21% set by the Codex Alimentarius. This shows that the water content of the honey samples complies with the Codex Alimentarius Standard (2000). Indeed, the water content is a quality criterion used mainly to estimate the degree of maturity of honey, and provides information on the stability of the product against fermentation during storage. According to Bogdanov (2009), stable honey should contain fewer than 18% water. 83.33% of our honey samples were stable. The honey water content can be affected by many parameters including the harvest season, the initial humidity of the nectar and honeydew, the degree of maturity reached, as well as the geographical origin (Nanda et al., 2003). The high water

Comment [O51]: 1.41±0.01^{abc}

Comment [O52]: This has been corrected. Please check your decimal points. They are commas not a full stop. Correct them. See an example in **Comment O51 above**.

Comment [O53]: Not necessary. Delete.

Comment [O54]: Delete. Insert: superscripts in the same column are significantly different (p<0.05).

Comment [O55]: Delete: Insert: ranged between 1.39 and 1.44 with significant difference. **Please, take a close look at your table. The superscripts varied indicating significant differences except in few cases such as pH whereby E5OU and E6OA were the same.**

Comment [O56]: Delete. Replace with: density values obtained were comparable to 1.42 for honey with moisture content of 17.5% at 20°C reported by Hoyet (2005).

Comment [O57]: used in keeping

Comment [O58]: between 5.73 and 6.56.

Comment [O59]: No assumptions. It's obvious. Delete.

Comment [O60]: Delete

Comment [O61]: The pH of Hon....

Comment [O62]: Ouahigouya (E₅OU) and Ouagadougou (E₆OA) had no significant difference (p>0.05).

Comment [O63]: The pH obtained from our research were higher than 3.58-4.84 reported by Nombé et al. (2010) for honey imported and sold in Burkina Faso.

Comment [O64]: did not fall within the range of 3.5-5.5 stipulated for honey by the Codex Alimentarius (2000).

Comment [O65]: Delete.

Comment [O66]: between 13.00 and 83 meq/kg.

Comment [O67]: Delete. Just write Brix.

Comment [O68]: Brix not box.

Comment [O69]: Delete. Brix is used....

Comment [O70]: in not on

Comment [O71]: Delete

Comment [O72]: for

Comment [O73]: Delete. Insert: 'had a moisture content'

Comment [O74]: lower not fewer

content can lead to a growth of yeast and molds, causing fermentation, flavor losses and low shelf life (Al-Farsi et al., 2018).

The electrical conductivity results of all the samples were lower than the maximum value of the codex alimentarius (<800 µs/cm), the highest value was obtained with the sample from Orodara and the lowest was obtained with the Fada (???) sample. These results are lower than those of Belhaj et al. (2015) on natural honeys of Moroccan origin in 2016. Electrical conductivity is a good indicator of the botanical origin of honey and is used during routine checks instead of ash content. It depends on the mineral content and the acidity of the honeys. These results can be explained by the acidity of honey. The higher the acidity, the higher the corresponding conductivity (Bogdanov et al., 2004).

The hydroxy-methyl furfural contents of the different honey samples ranged between 11.68±0.00 and 90.52±0.35. The highest value was obtained with sample 4 and the lowest value with sample 6. 80% of our samples were above the limit of Codex Alimentarius standard (80 mg/kg) The results are similar to those found by (Nombé et al., 2010) on honey samples from Burkina Faso harvested from 2001 to 2007 and analyzed in 2010 (13.4 to 1169.0 mg/kg) and (Kientega, 2021) (81.25% compliance). The high content of hydroxy-methyl furfural could be explained by decomposition of fructose, due to the poor storage conditions, aging and prolonged heating of these honeys (Tatsadjieu et al. 2008 ; Qamer et al. 2013 ; Al-Farsi et al., 2018).

Microbiological characteristics of honey

The results of the physicochemical analysis of the honey samples are presented in table 2.

Table 2. Microbiological characteristics of honey samples

Samples	FAMT (UFC/mL)	LM (UFC/mL)	CT (UFC/mL)	CTh (UFC/mL)	FS (UFC/mL)	SS (in 25 mL)
E ₁ OR	5,14.10 ⁴ ± 3,35.10 ⁴ ^a	4,06.10 ¹ ± 3,05 ^{cd}	< 1	< 1	1,38.10 ² ± 7,63 ^d	Absence
E ₂ FA	1,21.10 ⁵ ± 1,18.10 ⁴ ^a	< 1 ± 0,00 ^a	< 1	< 1	< 1 ± 0,00 ^a	Absence
E ₃ TO	4,51.10 ⁴ ± 3,48.10 ⁴ ^a	2,03.10 ¹ ± 2,51 ^b	< 1	< 1	2,23.10 ¹ ± 2,51 ^b	Absence
E ₄ GA	8,74.10 ⁴ ± 3,75.10 ⁴ ^a	2,31.10 ¹ ± 6,55 ^e	< 1	< 1	1,38.10 ² ± 3,60 ^d	Absence
E ₅ OU	3,50.10 ³ ± 3,50.10 ³ ^a	2,83.10 ¹ ± 7,63 ^{bc}	< 1	< 1	< 1 ± 0,00 ^a	Absence
E ₆ OA	6,69.10 ⁴ ± 3,80.10 ⁴ ^a	5,00.10 ¹ ± 5 ^d	< 1	< 1	6,93.10 ¹ ± 4,04 ^c	Absence
Normes	10 ⁵	< 10 ²	< 10 ²	-	-	Absence

Legends: Values with different letters or indices are significantly different (p-value < 0.05); E1OR: Orodara samples; E2FA: Fada samples; E3TO: Tougan samples; E4GA: Gaoua samples; E5OU: Ouahigouya samples; E6OA: Ouagadougou sample.

Total Counts

The total counts results showed a non-significant difference (p>0.05) of our different honey samples. The highest value is obtained with the Fada sample and the lowest with the Ouahigouya sample (1.21.10⁵ ± 1.18.10⁴ - 3.50.10³ ± 3.50.10³). All honey samples have values not exceeding 10⁵ CFU/mL as defined by the standard. We can deduce that the honey from the six regions was conform as regarding this standard.

Yeasts and Molds

These Yeasts and Molds of our honey samples comply with the current standard for unpasteurized fresh products (< 10³ CFU/mL). The conformity of our results reflects good conservation and storage of our honeys. However, maintaining its mold and yeast populations at acceptable levels will reduce the risk of poisoning and fermentation of our honey samples. These yeasts and molds come from pollen and from the legs, tongues and crops of bees, contaminated through contact with floral nectaries and possibly ripe fruit (Fleche et al., 1997).

Comment [O75]: What is the code from your table? Be mindful of your codes. Orodara (E,OR)....

Comment [O76]: for not with

Comment [O77]: State the code in bracket as shown in Comment O62 and O75.

Comment [O78]: were lower than those reported by

Comment [O79]: Which is sample 4? State the code as was used on the table.

Comment [O80]: Which is sample 6? State the code as was used on the table.

Comment [O81]: for not on

Comment [O82]: Not clear about your idea. State well.

Comment [O83]: Please check your decimal points. They are commas not a full stop. Correct them. See an example in Comment O51 above.

Comment [O84]: ???

Comment [O85]: 'Absent' not 'Absence'

Comment [O86]: superscripts

Comment [O87]: (p<0.05.)

Comment [O88]: Copy and paste this under Table 1

Comment [O89]: for the not of our

Comment [O90]: Delete. was obtained for the Fada (E₂FA) sample with a value of

Comment [O91]: with a value of

Comment [O92]: Delete

Comment [O93]: Delete

Comment [O94]: found in the honey....

Total Coliforms (TC) and Thermotolerant Coliforms (CTh)

The results of CT and CTh of the 06 honey samples all gave a value of < 1 UFC/mL, the standard in force on unpasteurized fresh products requires that the number of UFC/mL is < 10². Therefore, we can say that our results are consistent with the standard. Since no coliform was detected in all the honeys, these results indicate that the extraction and storage of the honeys were carried out under good hygienic conditions.

Comment [O95]: TC not CT

Comment [O96]: six

Spore-forming bacteria

These results showed that no spore-forming bacteria was observed in the sample of Fada and ouahigouya. The sample of Gaoua and Orodara gave similar values and are the highest values observed compared to the others.

Comment [O97]: had.

Salmonella and Shigella

These results showed a total absence of salmonella and shigella in 25 mL of honey in all the samples analyzed. These results also show that the hygiene conditions were respected during the packaging stages of the different samples of honey, because Salmonella and shigella are indicators of fecal contamination, the source of contamination by these germs can be bee, the environment, the personnel when handling the honey or the equipment. According to the study conducted by Belhaj et al. (2015), their honey samples only inhibited salmonella and *E. coli*. This has shown that gram-positive bacteria are more sensitive than Gram-negative bacteria and that several studies have shown that gram-positive bacteria with a thick and dense wall resist better to strong pressure exerted by high concentrations in sugars than Gram-negative bacteria with a thin and loose wall (Merah et al., 2010).

Comment [O98]: obtained is an indication of good hygienic conditions observed through harvesting to the packaging of the honey samples.

Comment [O99]: Delete.

Organoleptic and sensory characteristics of honey

The results of the analysis of the honey-sensory quality are presented in table 3.

Table 3. Organoleptic characteristics of honey

Samples	Sensory profile					Acceptability
	Colors	Aromas	Texture	Sweet taste	Acid taste	
E ₁ OR	Light brown (100%)	Not good (55,2 %)	Cloudy (75,9%)	Sweet (44,8%)	Not sour (89,7%)	Neither pleasant nor unpleasant (37,9%)
E ₂ FA	Very dark brown (95%)	Good (62,1%)	Clear (51,7%)	Sweet (58,6%)	Sour (86,2%)	Pleasant (62,1%)
E ₃ TO	Brown (75%)	Very Good (41,4%)	Clear (51,7%)	Sweet (55,2%)	Very Sour (62,1%)	Pleasant (51,7%)
E ₄ GA	Brown dark (60%)	Good (60,4%)	Clear (47,9%)	Sweet (56,3%)	Sour (79,2%)	Pleasant (49%)
E ₅ OU	Brown (95%)	Good (62,5%)	Clear (62,5%)	Sweet (54,2%)	Sour (77,1%)	Pleasant 57,1%)
E ₆ OA	Brown dark (85%)	Good (60,4%)	Cloudy (54,2%)	Sweet 58,3%)	Sour (72,9%)	Pleasant (57,1%)

The figure 1 Presents the acceptability of honey from six regions of Burkina.




Figure 1: Acceptability and grading of honey

The results showed that honey E1OR was really like and the honey E6OA was the unless appreciated by the consumers.

4. CONCLUSION

This study allowed us to evaluate the microbiological quality of honey from six regions of Burkina Faso. The analysis of the physicochemical quality of the honey shows compliance with the results of the Codex Alimentarius standard. However, there is a non-compliance with the result of the Total acidity of the honey of Orodara, which gave a value higher than the standard of the codex. The microbiological quality analysis shows an absence of salmonella and shigella in all the honey samples and a low load of total mesophilic aerobic flora, yeast and mold, spores, and coliforms in the honey samples. This complies with the recommendations of the standard for unpasteurized fresh products. In general, the physicochemical and microbiological quality of the honey meets the standards. But the presence of molds and spores in some samples can pose a health threat to consumers. Given the literature, honey is an antimicrobial product, and microorganisms are not likely to live there for long, but nevertheless, we recommend that beekeepers and honey houses ensure the sanitation of hives, and the production environment and to make a good choice of honey packaging material.

In view of the results obtained on honey from 6 regions of Burkina, certain parameters remain to be studied. From this, as perspective, it is possible to look for chemical contaminants, evaluate the nutritional quality, and analyze the organoleptic quality of honey and other hive products from different regions of Burkina Faso.

Comment [O100]: indicated the

REFERENCES

1. Al-Farsi, M., Al-Belushi, S., Al-Amri, A., Al-Hadhrani, A., Al-Rusheidi, M., & Al-Alawi, A. Quality evaluation of Omani honey. *Food chemistry*. 2018; 262, 162-167.
2. AOAC. Association of Official Analytical Chemists: The scientific Association Dedicated to Analytical Excellence. 17th edition, Dr. William Horowitz 2000; 2: 22-33.
3. Azeredo, L. D. C., Azeredo, M. A. A., De Souza, S. R., & Dutra, V. M. L. Protein contents and physicochemical properties in honey samples of *Apis mellifera* of different floral origins. *Food chemistry*. 2003; 80(2), 249-254.
4. Belhaj, O., Oumato, J., & Zrira, S. Physico-chemical study of some types of Moroccan honey. *Moroccan Review of Agronomic and Veterinary Sciences*. 2015; 3(3), 71-75.
5. Bobis, O., Moise, A. R., Ballesteros, I., Reyes, E. S., Durán, S. S., Sánchez-Sánchez, J., ... & Alvarez-Suarez, J. M. Eucalyptus honey: Quality parameters, chemical composition and health - promoting properties. *Food chemistry*. 2020; 325, 126870.
6. Bogdanov, S. Honey composition. *The honey book*, 2009; pp 1-9.
7. Bogdanov, S., Martin, P., & Lullmann, C. Harmonized methods of the international honey commission. *Swiss Bee Research Centre, FAM, Liebefeld*, 2002; 5, 1-62.
8. Bogdanov, S., Ruoff, K., & Oddo, L. P. Physico-chemical methods for the characterization of unifloral honeys: a review. *Apidology*. 2004; 35(Supp. 1), S4-S17.
9. Bruneau, E. Journey to the heart of honey. *ActuApi*. 2005; 31(3), 1-8.
10. Cavelier, E. Honey: Composition and production techniques of honey. Master memory. Sorbonne Nouvelle-Paris University. 2013; 3. 3-17p.
11. Codex Alimentarius. Draft Revised Codex Standard for Honey. 2000; CX/S 00/3. 1-10p.
12. Fleche, C., Clement, M.C., Zeggane, S., & Faucon, J.P. (1997). Contamination of bee products and risk for human health: situation in France. *Scientific and technical journal (International Office of Epizootics)*. 1997; 16(2), 609-619.
13. Harris S. Honey for the treatment of superficial wounds: a case report and review. *Primary Intent*. 1994; 18p.
14. Hoyet C 2005. Honey: From source to therapy. Pharmacy thesis in pharmacy. Pharmacological faculty. Poincare University of Nancy 1.1991; 17-37p.
15. ISO 4833. Methods for Microbiological Examination of Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs. Enumeration of Microorganisms. Colony Count Technique at 30 ° C. International Standards Organisation, Geneva, Switzerland.
16. Meda, A., Lamien, C. E., Millogo, J., Romito, M., & Nacoulma, O. G. Physicochemical analyzes of Burkina Fasan honey. *Acta Veterinaria Brno*. 2005; 74(1), 147-152.

Comment [O101]: Author(s) should ensure that all the authors referenced in the text are cited well in accordance to the format of the Journal.

17. 17. Merah M, BensaciBachagha. M, Boudershem. HAS,. Study of the antimicrobial effect of three samples of natural honey collected from Algerian territory. *Ann. Science. Technology*. 2010; 2:115-125.
18. 18. Nanda, V., Sarkar, B. C., Sharma, H. K., & Bawa, A. S. Physico-chemical properties and estimation of mineral content in honey produced from different plants in Northern India. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 2003;16(5), 613-619.
19. 19. NFV 08-059. Enumeration of yeasts and molds by counting colonies at 25°C - routine method. 2002
20. 20. Nombéré, I., Schweitzer, P., Boussim, J. I., & Rasolodimby, J. M. Impacts of storage conditions on physicochemical characteristics of honey samples from Burkina Faso. *African Journal of Food Science*. 2010, 4(7), 458-463.
21. 21. Qamer, S., Ahamed, F., Ali, S. S., & Shakoori, A. R. Effect of storage on various honey quality parameters of *Apis dorsata* honey from Nepal. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*. 2013; 45(3).
22. 22. Rabeharifara, Z.P. (). Food characterization of Malagasy honeys for authentication: case of eucalyptus honeys. DEA dissertation, University of Antananarivo, Antananarivo. 2011; p103.
23. 23. Tatsadjieu Ngoune L., Mbawala A., Yampelda A., Tchuenguem Fohouo F. N., Ndjouenkeu R. Influence of heating and conditioning on the microbiological quality and physico-chemical properties of honeys from some localities around Ngaoundéré 'Cameroon'. *MHA (Sousse)*. 2008; 20 (58), 51-57.